



Copy No. 5

DEPARTMENT OF, SCIENTIFIC AND INDUSTRIAL RESEARCH - WELLINGTON, NEW ZEALAND

FINAL REPORT

of

PROJECT "SEAL"

by

Professor T. D. J. LEECH SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AUCKLAND UNIVERSITY COLEGE ARDMORE, NEW ZEALA 1D

18th December, 1950

DECLAS Unclass


BY AUTHOUSH ( ISM. F-3/62)

DATE 10/21/71

ACTION BY LACOTT

R, E. OWEN, Government Printer, Wellington





#### DEPARTMENT OF SCIENTIFIC AND INDUSTRIAL RESEARCH

WELLINGTON

NEW ZEAL AND.

PROJECT "SEAL"

THE GENERATION OF WAVES

BY MEANS OF

EXPLOSIVES.

PROFESSOR T.D.J. LEECH

School of Engineering AUCKLAND UNIVERSITY COLLEGE ARDMORE, NEW ZEALAND.

18th December, 1950.

## IMPORTANT NOTE:

"Seal" Project is regarded as a joint United States/New Zealand project. Disclosure of the report or any information therein to a third country other than the United Kingdom is prohibited without the consent of the appropriate authorities in the United States of America and New Zealand.



The project "SEAL" had its origin in a request of the Commander South Pacific Area (COMSOPAC) during April 1944, to the New Zealand Government for an investigation into the potentialities of offensive inundation by waves generated by means of explosives. During the period from February to April 1944 exploratory trials in New Caledonia indicated that there were reasonable prospects of developing techniques for favourable sites. The request incorporated two phases - the development of techniques, and the application of these to a trial upon an operational scale. Owing to changes in policy at a later date, the second part was cancelled.

The work was carried out by the 24th Army Troops Company, New Zealand Engineers with the co-operation of the Royal New Zealand Air Force, The U.S. Navy and the Royal New Zealand Navy between the 6th June, 1944, and the 8th January, 1945. Some 3,700 experiments were carried out with charges ranging from 0.06 lb. to 600 lb. in weight. T.N.T. was used generally, although C.E., nitro-starch and gelginite were used in some cases.

On the 25th July 1946, the second atom bomb trial took place at Bikini Atoll, under conditions permitting of direct comparisons with forecasts based upon the work of the "SEAL" Unit. These forecasts were verified within the limits of experimental error.

The investigations lead to the conclusion that offensive inundation is possible under favourable circumstances. Given low lying forshores and a shelving bottom off-shore, wave amplitudes of the order of those for recorded tidal waves, which have been disastrous, can be obtained. While T.N.T. or other explosives can be used, the engineering work specially involved introduces difficulties of considerable magnitude. The use of atomic bombs as multiple charges may be more practicable. The following matters of detail have been established:

- (a) There is an improvement in the amount of energy transferred from the explosive to the water in the form of wave motion with increasing sizes of charges. (paragraph 11.7).
- (b) The use of explosives at the upper critical depth adjacent to the water surface offers the advantages of higher performance and convenience as compared with deeply submerged charges (paragraph 6.2).
- (c) The use of multiple charge arrangements imparts directional properties to the wave pattern, and produces markedly increased wave amplitudes along the axis of symmetry of the charge positions (paragraph 12.81).
- (d) Single charges are impracticable (paragraph 15.3).
- (e) Compared with recorded tidal waves, the wave lengths of the waves generated by explosives are smaller for given amplitudes.

- (f) The ratio of the depth of water to the wave length at the charges is important, because for depths less than one-half the wave length, the energy efficiency falls rapidly with decrease is depth (paragraph 7.1).
- (E) Hydraulic model studies are imperative before an assessment of the effects of inundation can be made, and for the determination of the best arrangement and position of charges (paragraph 15.6).
- (h) For single charges, the empirical relationships have been confirmed by the observations made during the second Atomic Bomb trial at Bikini on the 25th July 1946 (paragraph 15.4).

Much work has yet to be done before all phases of the problem can be considered to be in a satisfactory position.

#### COMMENT.

Upon concluding this report, the Commander of Seal, No. 1 Unit, vacated his position as Professor of Engineering, Auckland University College, to become Chief Engineer, Scientific Services Branch, The Snowy Mountains Hydro Electric Authority, Australia.

All the experimental data is held by the Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, New Zealand, and any information concerning it or this report should be addressed to -

The Principal Secretary,
Defence Science Secretariat,
Department of Scientific and
Industrial Research,
Box 18, Government Buildings,
Wellington, C.1.,
NEW ZEALAND.

# PREVIOUS "SEAL" REPORTS.

First	Progress	Report	to	Comsopac	23. 6. 1	14
Second	"	"	31	11	7. 7. 1	14
Third	u	11	11	"	21. 7. 1	14
Fourth	"	"	"	"	4. 8. 4	4
Fifth	"	n	"	"	18. 8. 4	4
Interi	n Report				31.10.4	4

## "SEAL" PROJECT.

## INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST.

	Сору	707.00
The Chief of the General Staff, New Zealand	1	110,
The Chief of the Naval Staff, New Zealand	2	
The Chief of the Air Staff, New Zealand	3	
N.Z. Joint Staff Mission, Washington, U.S.A.	4	
N.Z. Joint Staff Mission, Washington, U.S.A., for transmission to appropriate United States Military Authorities	567	}
N.Z. Scientific Liaison Officer, Washington, U.S.	۸. 8	
N.Z. Scientific Adviser, London, U.K.	9	
Chief Scientist, Ministry of Supply, United Kingdom, via New Zealand Scientific Adviser, London, U.K.	10	
Director, Royal Naval Scientific Service- Admiralty, United Kingdom, via New Zealand Scientific Adviser, London, U.K.	11	
Scientific Adviser to the Army Council, United Kingdom, via New Zealand Scientific Adviser, London, U.K.	12	
Director of Scientific Research, Admiralty, United Kingdom, via New Zealand Scientific Adviser, London, U.K.	13	
Scientific Adviser to Air Ministry, United Kingdom, via New Zealand Scientific Adviser, London, U.K.	14	
Defence Documents Section, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, New Zealand	15	

#### INTRODUCTION.

## 1.1 ORIGIN OF PROJECT:

Project "Seal", or the investigation of the potential-ities of inundation by means of artificially produce tidals waves arose from a suggestion made by wing Commander E.A. Gibson to Lieutenant General Sir Edward Puttick, Chief of General Staff (N.Z.) on the 13th January 1944. The former had noted, whilst engaged upon surveys in the Pacific Area during the period 1936 to 1942, that blasting operations upon submerged coral formations occasionally were attended by unexpectedly large waves. General Puttick instructed the submerged coral formations occasionally were attended by unexpectedly large waves. General Puttick instructed Colonel C.W. Salmon, the N.Z. Chiefs of Staff Representative in the South Pacific area (Enzedsopac) to place the proposal before Admiral W.F. Halsey, Commander of the South Pacific Area (Commander). Arrangements were made for Wing Commander Gibson, Professor J.M. Snodgrass, University of California, Division of War Research, who was then in the area investi-gating certain problems relating to submarine warfare, and Professor T.D.J. Leech, who was acting Director of Scienti-Professor T.D.J. Leech, who was acting Director of Scientific Developments, New Zealand, to examine the idea at Noumea in February 1944.

## 2.1 NEW CALEDONIAN EXPERIMENTS:

It was decided to test the suggestion by ad hoc trials under the guidance of a team comprising Captain W.L. Erdmen, U.S.N., Colonel Salmon, Wing Commander Gibson, Professors Snodgrass and Leech. Exploratory work was undertaken for the purpose of determining:

- (a) The influence of certain variations in charge
- size and shape; (b) The directional effects of a series of surface charges arranged to conform with certain geometrical patterns.
- (c) Some idea of the mechanism of the action.

2.2 The results were incorporated in a report dated 31st March 19/4, which was approved by Admiral Halsey and transmitted by him to the New Zealand Chiefs of Staff with a request that New Zealand undertake further investigations, as shown by the following extract:-

"The results of these experiments, in my opinion, show that inundation in amphibious warfare has definite and far reaching possibilities as an offensive weapon. It would be very desirable to have further developments carried out to establish a practicable method and procedure which could be used in offensive warfare. I would be grateful if this development could be continued to completion by New Zealand officers. All practicable assistance of facilities and personnel in this Command will be at your disposal."

The word "Tidal" is not strictly correct. However, since the objective was the production of effects similar to those produced by naturally occurring tidal waves, the adjective has been used for the want of a better word.

2.3 Admiral Halsey's request was examined by the New Zealand Chiefs of Staff Committee, and proposals for implementation were submitted to and approved by the War Cabinet on the 5th May. They provided for the establishment of an Army Research Unit under the command of Professor Leech, who would be directly responsible to the Minister of the Armed Forces and War Co-ordination, Sir William Perry.

## 3.1 THE 24TH ARHY TROOPS COMPANY, N.Z.E.

The establishment of the Research Unit, known as the 24th Army Troops Company, N.Z.E., provided for the following Sections:

Headquarters Section (N.Z. Army) Research Section (D.S.I.R.) Works Section (R.N.Z.A.F.) Photographic Section (R.N.Z.A.F. Explosives Section (U.S.N.)	27
TV	July Pate

This unit was only partially manned.

The Headquarters Section was responsible for personnel, security and messing matters. The Research Section was under the direct control of the Commanding Officer. The Works Section was responsible for all constructive work. The Explosives Section was made up of specialist officers and petty officers of the U.S.N. Apart from the Headquarters Section, the others were responsible for meeting the technical requirements of the Research Section.

#### 4.1 EXPERIMENTAL RESEARCH STATION:

The original suggestion for utilizing the fortress site on the Whangaparaos Peninsula in the Hauraki Gulf, New Zesland was adopted. It was reasonably close to Auckland and the existing Army buildings had recently been reduced to a "care and maintenance" basis. From the viewpoint of security it was favourably situated. Close to the area, there were several sites suitably located for the larger experiments proposed. To cater for the small scale work, designed to determine the principles involved, an earthen dam was constructed in one of the valleys, which provided an experimental pool approximately 1,200 ft. long, 200 ft. wide and with depths varying in steps to 24 ft.

- 4.2 In addition to provision for basic development at Whangaparaoa, plans were laid for an operational test in New Zealand at Taronui Bay, North Auckland, between the Bay of Islands and Whangaroa, This was later abandoned.
- 4.3 The instrumentation associated with the Research Station called for considerable ad hoc development. Remotely recording wave mechanisms, radio controlled firing and manocuvring devices had to be developed. These and many other details were brought to the prototype stage and operated satisfactorily.
- 4.4 It was originally intended that Leech would be assisted by a senior group comprising Professor Snodgrass and two eminent Australian hydraulic engineers, Messrs. T.A. Lang and F. de L. Venables. After protracted negotiations these gentlemen were not able to join the team, and the technical direction of the whole project remained throughout the responsibility of Leech.

#### 5.1 SCOPE OF WORK AT WHANGAPARAOA:

Contemporaneously with the setting up of the Experimental Station, Dr. E. Marsden, Secretary, D.S.I.R. and Brigadier R.S. Park were able to discuss the question with U.K. scientists interested in cognate problems. These included Sir Geoffrey Taylor, Adviser to the Admirslty, Professor E.D. Ellis, together with Professor Chapman and Dr. W.G. Penny of the Imperial College of Science and Technology. These scientists had been interested in the study of the effects of firing submerged charges; and with the exception of Sir Geoffrey Taylor, all were pessimistic.

Somewhat later, Dr. Marsden discussed the problem with Dr. Vanevar Bush in Washington, and his views were more encouraging. Generally the points of view adopted were based upon theoretical analyses developed for single charges located at considerable depths. Subsequent experimental work demonstrated that the assumptions made in the development of the analyses were invalid for charges fired close to the surface.

- 5.2 The New Zesland approach to the problem was essentially experimental. While efforts were made to produce a satisfactory theory to explain the mechanism of wave generation with explosive charges close to the water surface, the mathematical difficulties proved intractable. However, the contributions by Sir Geoffrey Taylor and Dr. Penny were invaluable in the examination of a number of factors.
- made. The results demonstrated that single charges were inefficient in regard to wave production. However, a most significant factor was revealed, which accounted for the earlier observations (pars 1.1) of occasional abnormally large waves. There is a small critical depth for the position of the centre of gravity of the charge below the water surface, at which the exchange of energy from the explosive to the wave trains maximum. Small deviations from this critical depth, which is a function of the weight of the charge and the nature of the explosive; are accompanied by markedly rapid decreases in the resultant wave energy. This fact called for the precise location of charges in the later experiments. This shallow critical depth was unsuspected by the U.K. authorities, who had been tinking in terms of a critical depth of much greater magnitude. For a submerged charge, it had been shown by Penny that, when fired at this greater critical depth, the gas bubble attained its maximum size on breaking the surface and was able to produce the greatest wave amplitudes. These amplitudes were found to be less than those produced when the charges were located at the shallow critical depth discovered in the N.Z. experiments.
- 5.4 The use of multiple charges suitably located to conform with geometrical patterns was found to give superior results, not only as regards wave amplitudes, but in certain cases pronounced directional effects were produced. In all these cases the resultant wave amplitudes were sensitive to charge spacing, and charge location. The shape of the charge was also important.

## 6.1 SOME DIFFICULTIES:

Shortly after the "SEAL" Unit commenced operations on the 6th, June 1944, there was a change in the Command of the South Pacific Area. This, combined with the many suggestions by senior officers, resulted in changes of policy, without having due regard to the technical difficulties involved.

It did not appear to be realized that time is required to plan and implement experimental programmes. As a result much effort was wasted.

6.2 It was also unfortunate that the majority of the U.K. suthorities were originally pessimistic. Subsequent events clearly demonstrated that, because of the absence of personal contact, they had based their decisions upon the effects of charges placed at the greater critical depth, and were at the time unfamiliar with the existence of the second and more pertinent critical depth near the surface. These factors, combined with the growing ascendency of the Allied Nations in the Pacific theatre, reduced the operational priority of the project and caused the New Zealand Government to close it down in January 1945, before the full experimental programme was completed and the fundamental scientific problems were solved.

### 7.1 SITUATION WHEN EXPERIMENTAL WORK CEASED:

The experimental station at Whangaparaoa was closed down on the 8th, January, 1945. At this time some 3,700 experiments had been carried out with charges ranging from 0.06 lb. to 600 lb. in weight. T.N.T. was used generally, although C.E., nitro-starch and gelignite were employed in some cases. The evidence resulted in the following conclusions:-

- (a) Offensive inundation is possible under certain favourable conditions.
- (b) Compared with recorded facts relating to tidal waves, amplitudes of the same order of magnitude can be produced, but their wave lengths are shorter.
- (c) The efficiency of conversion from explosive energy to wave energy increases materially as the charge weight is increased.
- (d) Explosives used close to the water surface produce superior results as compared with charges at greater depths. The location of the charge is critical. From practical considerations of manoeurvring this feature is advantageous.
- (e) The use of single charges is not promising, but multiple charges suitably spaced and located with regard to geometrical considerations produce superior results.
- (f) In 1944, the detonation of large masses of explosive presented a major insolved problem. However, subsequent developments have shown that this need not be regarded as a seigur problem.
- (g) With charges of T.N.T. of the order of 2,000 tons divided into, say, ten equal amounts and suitably disposed, wave amplitudes of the order of 30 to 40 ft. are within the bounds of possibility at distances approximating 5 miles off-shore, given favourable and commonly found sea-bottoms.
- (h) The use of models similar to those used in Hydraulics Laboratories is imperative to determine the suitability of any given site and the best method of attack.

#### 8.1 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS:

In 1946 Dr. Karl Compton, Chairman of the Atomic Energy Evaluation Board, visited New Zealand and discussed the Seal project with Leech, who had been invited to represent New Zealand and Australia in a technical capacity at the second Bikini atom bomb trial. The latter was unable to accept the invitation because of the critical conditions at the Auckland University College. However, he supplied data relative to the location of the charge at the critical depth nearer the water surfascetogether with forecasts of wave amplitudes at predetermined points at which wave recorders were to be established. The records were, it was reported subsequently, in agreement with the forecasts within the limits of experimental error.

8.2 In February 1947, Leech was invited by the Assistant Secretary, U.S. Navy, to work with Dean M.P.O'Brien, Professor-in-charge of the Department of Engineering, University of California, upon the analysis of records obtained at Bikini. Again, the continuing critical conditions at the Auckland University College forced the Council to withhold its permission. During 1948, the University of California published a number of papers relating to certain phases of the project. Since 1948, several requests for the final report have been made by Dr. E. Marsden, N.Z. Scientific Liaison Officer, London, and the U.S. Embassy in New Zealand.

9.1 CURRENT WORK: During 1950 circumstances changed sufficiently to permit an effort being made to complete the report. At the same time a small group of post-graduate engineering students of Auckland University College became available and three of these have taken up small projects designed to fill gaps in the work done earlier. The projects are:-

- (a) Studies upon certain anomalous effects when waves approach shoaling bottoms. (R.A. Marshall, B.Sc.)
- (b) The review of and the development of methods designed to dissipate wave energy. (N.B. Carter.)
- (c) A study in the augmentation of wave amplitudes by the application of surface impacts in series. (K.D.T. Shores).

At the end of the year theses will be submitted covering the work done under these headings.

#### 10.1 SCOPE OF THE REPORT.

The accompanying report will summarize the principal facts which have emerged from the analysis of a considerable number of observations. The approach to the several issues has been primarily empirical. Dr. Penny's treatment for deep charges does furnish some significant results and for convenience it has been included as an appendix.

#### SECTION I.

#### THE GENERATION OF WAVE SYSTEMS.

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION:

- 1.1 Three methods of generating wave systems have been examined:-
  - (a) Waves produced by an impulse at the surface, which may take the form of mechanical impact by a solid or the expansion of a gas near the water surface.
  - (b) Waves produced by the expansion of the gas bubble resulting from the explosion of a submerged charge.
  - (c) Waves produced by the action of a relatively slow displacement under the water surface.
- 1.2 The first method is discussed in detail in this report. The impact of a solid body, or of the gas liberated by an explosion, with the water surface creates a cavity surrounded by an elevated fringe of water from which the wave system develops. Early work in New Caledonia with 600 lb. depth charges fired at depths varying from 150 to 600 ft. produced disappointing results, and these observations led to the detailed examination of this method.
- 1.31 The second method has been considered theoretically by Penny (1) (Appendix II), and Kirkwood (2). Restricted experimental work (3, 4, 5, 6, 7) has been carried out in the United Kingdom and United States of America. Further experimental work upon this method of wave generation is discussed in this report and many hitherto obscure points have been in part clarified. The critical discussion of the New Zealand work in (7) was based upon rough exploratory experiments, and is therefore no longer applicable.
- 1.32 When a charge is fired at a considerable depth a gas bubble is formed which expands beyond an equilibrium condition (3, 8), and given sufficient depth it will contract again beyond a second equilibrium condition. Por great depths it will expand again and the cycle will be repeated successively until the bubble breaks through the water surface. Just prior to breaking the surface (venting) a dome is formed and the water thus elevated brings about the LK initial development of the surface wave system. After venting a cavity is formed which upon collapse gives rise to the second phase of the wave system. At considerable distances from the source of the two phases, a wave group is formed. Theoretically (1) where the depth of the charge is just equal to the radius of the bubble produced, the wave amplitudes reach their maximum values. Experimentally the ratio of charge depth to maximum bubble radius accompanied by maximum wave amplitudes is approximately 0.65 (5). The charge depth for this condition has been termed the critical depth; but, because of the existence of another critical charge positive. tion nearer the surface, this will be known in what follows as the lower critical depth, as distinct from the second or upper critical depth. This second depth has not been mentioned in available references. The transfer of explosive energy to wave energy in the case of charges fired at the lower critical depth is less than in the case where similar charges are fired at the upper critical depth.

1.4 The third method has been examined in Japan (9,10), where it was believed that tunsmi (tidel waves created by seismic disturbances) have their origin in submerged rock displacements, such as slips. At the Earthquake Research Institute, Tokyo Imperial University, during 1933 small scale experiments were companied but in which waves were scale experiments were carried out in which waves were generated by the movement of a piston at the bottom of a shallow tank. These waves possessed characteristics similar to those often experienced during or just after earthquakes.

1.5 In the following discussion a number of basic ideas 1.5 In the following discussion a number of basic ladas are presented with the view of rationalizing the analysis of the experimental results. During the early stages of the investigation attempts were made to extend the analytical studies of Gauchy and Poisson (11), to explain the exploratory observations without success. The theoretical treatments of Penny (1), Kirkwood (2) and Taylor (3) likewise fail to explain the behaviour resulting from charges located at the upper critical depth. Because of these limitations, and the pecessity of obtaining data, which at the time could and the necessity of obtaining data, which at the time could be rapidly used for operational planning, an empirical analysis of the problem was undertaken.

1.52 Scaling laws have been attempted (1, 2, 5, 7) upon the assumption that the efficiency of energy transfer from explosive to wave energy was constant. The evidence available shows to is to be incorrect. Accordingly a different approach has been made wherein approximations based upon experimental observations have been adopted.

1.61. In order to gain some appreciation of the mechanism of wave generation by surface impact two series of experiments were carried out (13). The first series was conducted in a glass sided channel into which masses of rectangular form were dropped from varying heights. The second comprised observations of wave characterities when masses were dropped into a pool. From these several important features productive of waves were noted.

1.62 Early thoughts upon possible methods of offensive inundation placed emphasis upon means for transporting and manoeuvring large quantities of explosive. In this way the association of charges with rafts developed, and much of the experimental work involved charges apported by rafts. Further, the use of multiple charges located according to definite geometrical patterns originated in the qualitative study of ripples produced by gangs of electric sparks in a tank.

#### 2.0 ENERGY CONSIDERATIONS:

2.1 The energy per unit length of a single trocheidal wave of oscillation in deep water is given by (12):-

 $E_u = \frac{1}{2} \text{ w H}^2 \quad \lambda \left\{ 1 - 4.93 \, \frac{\text{H}^2}{\lambda^2} \right\}$ ....(1) 1025

where w is the specific weight of the water. H is the wave height from trough to crest. λ is the wave length.

A summary of the available experimental results shows that the greatest useful values of H/A vary from 0.048 to 1.0.054. Hence, for the wave systems considered in this investigation the following relationship for sinusoidal waves will give results accurate to within 1½ percent.