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IN THE CRIMINAL COURT OF DAVIDSON COUNTY, TENNESSEE DIVISION I

## STATE OF TENNESSEE

V.

## CASE NUMBER: 2017-A-62

## WILLIE AUSTIN DAVIS,

This cause came on to be heard and was heard on the 11 th and 12 th days of September, 2017, before the Honorable Steve Dozier, Judge, holding Criminal Court for Davidson County, Tennessee, Division I, and a jury of twelve and one alternate.

The jury was selected, impaneled, and duly accepted by both sides and sworn; sequestration was waived by agreement; the witnesses were called, placed under the Rule and excluded from the courtroom; and the following proceedings were had to wit:

$\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{G}-\mathrm{S}$<br>(Whereupon, jury selection was had and a jury of 12 plus one alternate were selected and sworn as the jury in this matter.)

(The indictment was read by the State to which the defendant entered a plea of not guilty and the following proceedings were had:):

THE COURT: Does the state care to make an opening statement?

GENERAL HARRIS: Yes, Your Honor.

I spent the better part of the last couple of days with my in-laws. And as I'm sure many of you watched, you know, and are probably watching now, we spent most of yesterday watching Hurricane Irma inch its way up the Florida peninsula. And storms like this are, you know, very interesting, I've got kind of a minor fascination with weather, it's just an interesting job. You know, but the interesting thing about big events like this, we saw this with Hurricane Harvey and too are seeing this
now with Hurricane Irma or take any tornado or something like that, that where there is sort of an all-hands-on-deck sort of mentality in the news room and then we go live to somebody on the scene. And it's so ridiculous it's almost funny as you see some of these people down in Naples or these storm chasers or whoever standing there live as they literally being blown all different directions.

There was one yesterday where some person for $A B C$ was shackled into a deck in Miami, you could see him holding on to the rope and there is one that is kind of -- I think it's going around now the storm chaser is out measuring wind speed. And it's like he's in a vacuum, he is literally being blown back by the wind. And you would think it's just going to totally blow him away all together.

And my mother-in-law who is from the north, and she is, as we Southern's might put it, very candid about certain things saw this and she sort of made the comment that, you know, what -- you know, why are these people, why are they out there? What is wrong? All of these warnings are out there, all of these folks ought to be evacuated and there they are, you know, standing in the middle of it, not helping anyone, just reporting. And I was like,
well, you know, it's important for us to know how powerful it is. It's obviously important to know sort of what damage is being done.

But it got my thinking, folks like that, weather people or any one in that circumstance has a dual incentive, number one is to report news, clearly that is their number one job, but to that is maybe they are rewarded too. Maybe so and so out of Miami who is standing in waist-deep water who is reporting on $X, Y, Z$, does such a good job, maybe they win an Emmy. Maybe someone, you know, gets famous and they, like the storm chaser that's getting blown away, and all of the sudden, with this age of the internet, they got 10 million clips and people now turn that into money.

You know it's a good way to get rich. There are ways that people can turn that to their advantage because they are the only one there and I guess, you know, it's their job. But clearly there is some personal reason to do it otherwise who would do it, right?

This is a case about -- initially I thought this was a case about obsession, well, what does the weather have to do with that? Well, obsessions, incentives, you know, the folks that do Minutes at the back of this link. Session Clerk Scott Troxel did not obtain approval to write a "trespass letter" from the Covenant Session and/or $\mathbf{1 0 0 0}+$ joint property owners (members). Exculpatory info was provided to DA.
the weather have incentives, this is a case about obsession. That's all.

You are going to hear testimony over this afternoon hopefully not into tomorrow, but possible, that Austin Davis had been banned from the property of Cumberland Presbyterian Church since 2008. He was banned from that property by what is called a session, or the -- this would be the ruling church body, group of elders, church counsel, board of directors, however you want to call it. Those people decided that Austin Davis was a threat to be on the property and sent him a letter saying he was no longer allowed.

Austin Davis, I submit the proof will show, was aware of this letter, knew he wasn't allowed to be there. And I submit the proof will also show that he knew that his presence on the property irked people, that it scared them. That they were in fare themselves not knowing what to do.

Mr. Davis was sent that letter in 2008. You will hear testimony that Mr. Davis may have gone on to the property at some point after that, but definitely was there in October of 2015. He entered on to the property. He knew he wasn't supposed to be there. He was shown the letter that was sent to him
in 2008, he acknowledged receipt of that letter, he understood. Didn't have any issues about the letter. untrue And yet he remained and had to be escorted off of the property by Metro Police all to return again two weeks later while he was finally arrested by police for being on the property in violation of what the judge -- excuse me not what the Judge, what the Session, what the ruling body of the church said.

I thought it was a case about obsession, I don't think it's that. I think -- I think there is something deeper in play here. I think this is a case -- I submit this is a case. I submit that you will hear proof this is the case about fear. This is a case about fear.

Mr. Davis continued to go on the property multiple times in violation of the order or of the letter banning him from the property because he knew whenever he went on to the property, people got scared. Mr. Davis apparently in the same way one, has an incentive to do things that no one else would do, maybe for their own personal gain; two, does it because it's about him. It's really not about whatever reasons he says he's there. It's really not about any member of his family or whomever or judges or lawyers or whatever, ladies and
gentlemen, the proof is going to show that this case is about Austin Davis. This case is about control. And this case is about fear.

And I submit at the end of this trial there is going to be no doubt that Mr. Davis went on to the property that he knew he wasn't supposed to be on the property the, that there is a school on that property and that he did so knowingly, intentionally or recklessly to cause fear of the safety of every untrue one else there. At the end of this trial, I'm going to ask all of you to return verdicts of guilty.

Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Any opening
statement, Mr. Davis?
MR. DAVIS: I'm not a pro at this, so I

The accuracy of this trial transcript is a concern but Defendant Austin Davis was informed he cannot review the video or audio recording to ensure the trial transcript accuracy. In Mississippi, it is called "home-cooking." 22 don't really know what I'm doing, I just want to talk to you. There are certain things that are fundamental, a courthouse that you can actually walk into because, it's public property and you can go and be at a trial, unless somebody does something to you, stops you from doing that. There are certain places, libraries, state courthouse, public sidewalks, schools, all of us have been to school I'm sure somewhere, and all of us had kids or nephews or
somebody who goes to school.
Churches. The government is over there trying to tell you that this is a case about fear. It's not about fear, it's about freedom. The government -- the and it's just me and this day and time and they are probably some people in the streets and an unruly public out there right now that is just voted and there are still probably a lot of people unhappy about a lot of different things on both sides of that political equation, but what they want to do is they want to be able to express their freedom.

They want to be able to have their
voice, They want to be able to get up and speak. They don't have a right to go out and shoot cops and do a lot of things they are doing, but what makes us all Americans is that we are able to get up and say something, or we are able to get up and go somewhere, or we are able to go wall in the door. And we don't like having thugs get up in front of the doors and blocking us. And we don't like having people come over and threaten us, send death threats to your home or any kind of thing like that to intimidate you. That's anti-American.

Back in -- our brood moved around and ended up in Memphis -- I grew up in Mississippi in
the 50's. Bad time. I can remember going to the movie theater and I went in one side of the theater as a little boy, and another people went in another part of the theater. When they went to a water fountain, some people drank out of one part of the water fountain, other people drank out of another water fountain.

When I was in Memphis going to high school, I was very well educated about what went on in Memphis in 1964, the kneel-ins were very peaceful people, went to churches in the south and think walked up to the church doors and they had a lot of guys in suits and ties standing at the doors blocking them telling them you aren't welcome here and you can't come in here today. That's at God's house. Thank God those days are past up hopefully and that that has changed because we are Americans.

And so I'm telling you this is an about freedom and it's also about trespass. What is trespass? Who does have the authority to do it? I'm a law-abiding citizen. I wouldn't be up here defending myself and standing in front of a jury as an ex-deacon at Covenant, who was actually trained and actually used to be a guy that watched out for property and was a custodian out there. It's not

Defendant provided the DA the Oct $25^{\text {th }}$ audio and the Nov $15^{\text {th }}$ arrest video. Why didn't the DA present the recordings as evidence?
anybody's personal property, it's tax exempt. You have to file with the State of Tennessee, you have to go in there and say, we are going to become a church. We want tax exemption. We are going to collect a lot of money. This is in Green Hills, in Bell Meade, it's a big huge cathedral in Green Hills.

Ask yourself when you saw the arrest -which I have a videotape of, but when you saw the arrest on TV or when anybody in Nashville reported anything about it. You haven't because it's a secret. People don't want you to know. I've been looking forward to getting in front of a jury so you can learn a little bit.

I don't know if you will learn
everything that I know, because when you come in and do all of these pretrial things, there are things that they can block or keep out or don't want you to know. But I don't care about any of that because there is certain things: Ownership, who owns property? I can't go to any of your houses and stand up in front of a house if somebody comes to your house and tell them you can't come here today. I can't belong in a neighborhood association, if anybody in here belongs to a neighborhood association, there is a bunch of people that vote.

You have the president, treasurer, those kind of things. It's basically a group -- if you are in a condominium, you trust those people that are running them. But you don't want to find out the president of the neighborhood association has got a beef with somebody and then he goes over and starts using the police and stuff and banning people from the property, when the people who are the home owners are the people living there paying the fees and stuff don't even know about it.

So this is a huge case for a lot of
reasons. A lot of reasons because you have got very powerful churches in Nashville, and the last thing you really want to have happen -- and Nashville is a city of churches is having the church and the State marry. Because if they ever marry -- the founders knew that, they wanted to keep it separate, the Bill of Rights were for me and you. They were to keep very powerful forces from ganging up on individual people.

That's why we have freedom. And I personally think we are very close to losing it because we've got people running around doing anything that want to. Basically saying don't stand over there, don't do this, don't do that. I will be
portrayed as a narcissistic person, whatever it is that they can try to portray me as, crazy out of my mind, nuts, I'm an American. And I wouldn't want you to be blocked from going in anywhere that I knew you had a right to go. If you don't have a right, then that's -- you can risk being arrested and you may do that.

But I was pretty well informed. And I'm not an you know intelligent guy. And I'm not a reckless person, which is what the indictment is basically saying, saying reckless. I'm a boys athletic director/coach and the State and the Government is trying to portray me as some reckless person who wants to endanger children when I have a whole history of protecting them.

And it comes down to who you trust, the Government, which we are a part of. It's for the people and by the people all of that. But we still have a government out here that is -- and is it the government, is it the media, is it the police, is it the DA's office? I know we all trust those things pretty much, but today what you are looking at is a citizen who is in the teeth of all of that.

And I'm down here in the Courts and I'm just wanting you to make sure that the Government
does do its job and that you just don't know assume anything. You don't have anyone that comes back and just says, well, we didn't like the way he looked. And Billy Bob over here wanted to tie a -- and Billy Bob has a little hat he wears and some tassels on it back in a room somewhere and by God that's it; there is some law, there is some ownership. There is some rights that belong to people. There are some rights that belong to the owners. And who are the owners, and those type of questions. And I'm not sure they will be talking about that too much, we have already had a preliminary hearing about it. But that is what this whole issue is about. It's a church.

And I didn't go through and ask everybody what religion they are, where you go to church, I'm just assuming that everybody in here -it really doesn't matter to me whether anybody's been to church or not, but I think most of us probably have a common place that we operate because we have been to a funeral or we've been to a wedding and we got invited that we don't have to stop, check, call the Nashville Police Department or somebody and say: Is it okay if I walked in there or am I going to be arrested today.

And especially if you know you have done
your due diligence and you know you have a right. That doesn't mean that the Government can't come back and challenge you. We have seen plenty of people had billy sticks put on their heads and different things and people stand up and say you can't come in here. That has been done. And but that's what I'm -that's what I'm waiting to see from them. I don't have to get up and do anything really or prove anything. They have to come prove the ownership that flowed and that people had the authority, the legal authority. Not just that the stole it or they went rogue or they did something that they weren't really supposed to be doing, pulling a fast one. I'm not trying to pull any fast ones on any of you all. I respect you too much. I'm not trying to come in here and do a song and dance or try to trick you or whatever. I'm in here to try to tell you what I can and then they have to come over here and prove their case and try to show you what it is that made me an aggravated criminal trespasser or whatever it is they blame me as, which damages my name and hurts me badly in my community. And so I'm eager to see what they have to present and who they bring to testify. The Asst. DA had two surprise witnesses not on the list: Thank you.

1) Federal Judge John Bryant. 2) Police Officer James Smith.

THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, we are going to break for lunch to say 1:20.

So just remember what I have said about not discussing the case, forming my opinion about it. You can step down the hallway and your lunches will be there for you.
(Jury exits the courtroom.)

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Davis, one question, and I will preface by saying you are doing great, doing a greet job for what that is worth. It may not mean anything to you. But we had this discussion, I don't know when, several months ago about the official court reporter and the recordings that are made, everything is recorded. Nobody is going to mistreat you in here in any way from my perspective.

See recording ban at the back of this link.
But why do we have your daughter recording everything?

MR. DAVIS: I don't have my daughter
recording. I don't have --
THE COURT: Okay. So you didn't know

```
she was?
```

MR. DAVIS: No.
THE COURT: Okay. So would you help me help her and when we get her back in, we will give her her phone, she can go to password and just record what she has -- she can erase what she has recorded.

MR. DAVIS: That's fine.
THE COURT: Do you have any issues with that?

MR. DAVIS: No.
THE COURT: Okay. Bring Daisy Davis back in.

COURT OFFICER: And I requested that she do that out there, so it may already be off, but she was very concerned about --

THE COURT: Okay. Just let her step back in. I don't want to keep her phone.

MR. DAVIS: I'm not recording. I'm
just --
THE COURT: Yeah, that's fine. You know not to, so -- okay.

Ms. Davis, and I have discussed this with your father, Mr. Davis here, he is aware that -we have recordings of this; nobody is going to do anything that's not being recorded, but we can't have parties and witnesses recording things. So I'm not
chastising you or anything, I just want to make sure that you take your phone with this young lady's assistance and just delete anything that has been recorded. Is that a problem?

THE WITNESS: (Shakes head negatively.)
THE COURT: All right. We will be in recess then in $1: 20$.

MR. DAVIS: What time?
THE COURT: $1: 20$, is that enough time? MR. DAVIS: Yes. Thank you.
(Lunch break was taken.)

THE COURT: All right. Let the jury step down. And who is the State's first witness? GENERAL HARRIS: Mr. Troxel, Your

Honor.
THE COURT: All right. Go ahead, Ms. Haney and bring in Mr. Troxel. (Whereupon, the jury was present in the courtroom.)

THE COURT: All right. Raise your right-hand and be sworn.

SCOTT TROXEL,
was called as a witness, and after having first duly sworn, testified as follows: Why was Scott Troxel served a subpoena as a prosecution witness? Was Federal Judge John Bryant served a subpoena?

## DIRECT EXAMINATION BY GENERAL HARRIS:

Q. Would you please state your name for the jury?
A. Sure, Scott Troxel.
Q. And Mr. Troxel, what do you do for a living?
A. I'm a realtor.
Q. And how long have you been doing that?
A. Since 1993.
Q. Okay. And do you live here in

Nashville?
A. Yes.
Q. How long have you lived here in

Nashville?
A. Since about 1984.
Q. And did you at one point attend Covenant Presbyterian Church?
A. Yes.
Q. And where is Cumberland Presbyterian

Church located?
A. It's located on -- basically just off of

Hillsboro Road right by Burton Hills Boulevard.
Q. Here in Davidson County?
A. Yes, uh-huh.
Q. And about how long ago did you attend Cumberland Presbyterian Church?
A. Up until October of -- basically about a year ago.
Q. Okay. When did you begin attending Cumberland Presbyterian Church?
A. June of 2004 .
Q. Did you ever hold any offices as part of the Cumberland Presbyterian Church organization?
A. Sure.
Q. What office was that?
A. Okay. I was an elder and I think it was from 2005 and actually currently still an elder technically.
Q. Okay. And what is an elder, what types of things does an elder do?
A. Well, basically in the Presbyterian Church of America we basically have two levels of office, there is the deacon and the elder. The deacons are basically more involved in the business of the church or the operation, and then the elders are basically more responsible for spiritual
oversight such.
Q. And how long -- you were an elder from 2005 until now; is that correct?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. And if you don't mind telling jury, what is an elder's involvement, if any, in the Session? And tell the jury what the Session is?
A. Okay. Sure. The Session basically is the group of elders. You know, some churches you will have a board of trustees or -- or an elder board it's basically a term for a group of elders.
Q. Okay. So this would be -- the Session is tantamount to the board of trustees; am I understanding that correctly?
A. Right.
Q. Is one of the functions of the board of trust determining -- or the Session as it were, determining who may be on the property and who may not be on the property?
A. Rarely is that something that we have to discuss, but yes.
Q. But it is something that has presented itself?
A. Right.
Q. Okay. And in the Session did you also
hold a position?
A. Right. I was considered the -- I was the clerk of the Session which you can think of as the secretary and so the -- the clerk is basically responsible for the minutes of the meetings, also receiving correspondence from members or sending out correspondence from the Session.
Q. Okay. Let's discuss a little bit Austin Davis?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Do you know Mr. Davis?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. How do you know Mr. Davis?
A. Well, I first met Austin, it was in the 90 's I was helping him and Katherine look for a home. I don't remember exactly when that was. We looked probably for a couple of months and then the search basically ended. They did not purchase a home.
Q. Okay. Did you know them socially outside of that, or personally outside of that?
A. No, huh-huh.
Q. Would it be fair to say then that and also what brings us to court today, are really the only two ways you know Mr. Davis?
A. Yes.
Q. Don't talk to him regularly?
A. No.
Q. Or anything like that. Okay. Would it be fair to say you knew him back in 2008?
A. Yes, uh-huh.
Q. Did the Session receive a letter from Mr. Davis back in 2008?
A. Yes, we did.

GENERAL HARRIS: Your Honor, may I approach the witness, please?

THE COURT: Okay. Get that for him. BY GENERAL HARRIS:
Q. And Mr. Troxel, I have handed you a document. If you wouldn't mind identifying what that document is.
A. This is a letter from Austin on June 25 th of 2008.
Q. Okay. And that letter was addressed or sent to the Session; is that correct?
A. Was to the members of the -- it is the Covenant Commonwealth.
Q. Okay. Covenant Commonwealth, Okay.

GENERAL HARRIS: Your Honor, at this time I would like to move that into evidence as an exhibit to his testimony.

THE COURT: Okay, And you have seen that or you want --

MR. DAVIS: I'm sorry?
THE COURT: You have -- show that to Mr. Davis.

MR. DAVIS: Yeah, I have a copy of it.
THE COURT: Okay. Mark that as
Exhibit 1.
(Exhibit Number 1 was marked.)

GENERAL HARRIS: May I publish, Your Honor?

THE COURT: All right. Let them get that. Yeah. Go ahead and give that back to the State.

BY GENERAI HARRIS:
Q. Okay. And so --

THE COURT: You got an extra copy? GENERAL HARRIS: I do.

THE COURT: Okay.
GENERAL HARRIS: Yeah, you can move that in.

BY GENERAL HARRIS:
Q. And just to be clear Mr. Troxel, this is a copy of the letter you received from Mr. Davis?
A. Yes.
Q. The Session received from Mr. Davis?
A. Yes.
Q. Did this letter cause the Session concern?
A. Yes, it did.

THE COURT: Okay. Hang on just a second. Go ahead and expand -- expand that to the border so the jurors on the back don't have any problems seeing it.

Just zoom in. Okay.
And ladies and gentlemen, you will have -- any exhibit, you will have with you during your deliberation process.

All right.

BY GENERAL HARRIS:
Q. I will re-ask the question Mr. Troxel, did this letter cause the Session concern?
A. Yes, it did.
Q. Why is that?
A. Well, this is not the first letter we have received from Austin.
Q. Okay.
A. Over the many years before that, the Session all members of Covenant at different points had received multiple letters from Austin. And so this was not -- receive ago letter from Austin was not a new thing at all.
Q. Okay. At time was this letter considered threatening?
A. Yes, it was. In the last -- second to last sentence it says, "Next Sunday would be an appropriate time for six years of lies and slander to come to an end".
Q. And do you recall what the interpretation of that sentence was to the Session back in 2008?
A. Well, we really didn't feel like we could -- we had room to interpret it specifically. But we had members of the body that were very concerned about their safety, about their family's

## Uninformed Covenant Members

 were asking the Session to protect their children from a "violent vendetta" in 2008 but the Session did not think a "violent vendetta" would ultimately happen?safety. Because like I said, this letter didn't just come to the Session, it went to everybody in the UNTRUE church.

So there were parents that were concerned about the safety of their children because just -- you know, in 2008 as well as now there is always concern as far as somebody taking a vendetta to a violent end. We didn't think that would ultimately be what would happen, but we didn't feel like we could assume that and our members were asking for us to make sure that they were going to be safe.
Q. So there was a ground swell or a demanded of sorts amongst the church the do something about this? Groundswell?
A. Yes.
Q. And I will ask you this: Are you aware, was Mr. Davis a member of the church at the time this letter was sent?
A. No.
Q. So he wasn't even attending there when
this happened? Another lie. The Davis family was attending Covenant on a
A. Correct. regular weekly basis.
Q. Based off of this letter, did the Session make a decision -- and I will ask you this -well, strike that.

Based off of this letter, did the
Session make a decision as to whether or not Mr. Davis was allowed on the property?
A. Yes.

Fake Trespass Letter with no Session or Member approval. See Covenant Board Minutes at
Q. Okay. And what decision was made? the back of this link. Exculpatory info was provided to DA.
A. That he would not be allowed. We 7 were --
Q. Okay. And did you personally draft the 9 correspondence to that letter?

10 A. Yes, uh-huh.
11
12
13
GENERAL HARRIS: If I could approach.
THE COURT: Okay.
14
15
16
17
BY GENERAL HARRIS:
Q. And Mr. Troxel, if you don't mind, just identify what I've handed you there?
A. This is a letter that basically I wrote Fake Trespass Letter with no Sesslon or Member approval. See Covenant Board Minutes at the back of this link. Exculpatory welcome on the property. info was provided to DA.

GENERAL HARRIS: Okay. And Your Honor, exhibit to this witness' testimony.

THE COURT: Okay. And show that to

Mr. Davis.
MR. DAVIS: His letter. I have that.
Thank you.
THE COURT: Okay. I just want to make sure you know what he's talking about.

All right. Mark that as Exhibit 2.
(Exhibit Number 2 was marked.)

BY GENERAL HARRIS:
Q. And to be clear here Mr . Troxel, this letter is dated two days after the letter that the Session received from Mr. Davis; is that right?
A. Correct.
Q. And if you don't mind, just read into evidence what that letter says.
A. Sure.

This letter serves as notice that henceforth your presence on our church property will be considered trespassing. You are not a member of our church, we do not recognize your letters and we ask that you refrain from further harassment. This will be legally enforced. Sincerely, Scott Troxel, Clerk of the Session.

Clerk Troxel went "rogue" without Session or Member approval. See Covenant Board Minutes at the back of this link. Exculpatory info was provided to DA.
Q. And you didn't have any further contact

See June 25, 2008 letter at the back of this link. There is no reference to a congregation meeting in the letter.

Covenant Deacon Matt Anderson said there were about 10 unknown members of the secret armed assault Unit. Police provided the names of two: Juan Knight and Troy Stackhouse.
Did Nashville Police Officers assault the Davis Family at the front door of a public Sunday church service?
A. No, no direct.
Q. For some period of time he abided by the terms set forth in that letter; is that correct?
A. Well, no.
Q. Okay.
A. No. It was shortly thereafter we -- in the letter that Austin had written, he had referenced a particular Sunday we were going to have a congregational meeting. And so even though he had received this letter, he did come on the property.
Q. Okay. So shortly after he received it, he was back on within how long?
A. You know, I don't recall. It was -- it was from what I recall it was within a week or two.
Q. Okay. So a very short period of time afterwards?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, was he awe rested or charged or anything at that time?
A. He was escorted off of the property. Assaulted, not escorted.
Q. By church personal or --
A. No, we had some plain clothes officers.
Q. They just took him off?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you had any contact with Mr. Davis since this happened?
A. No.
Q. He's -- excuse me. I will ask you this too: Is there a school located on the premiss of Covenant?
A. The Covenant School.
Q. Okay. And what type of school is that?
A. It's a $K$ through I think 4th or 5th.
Q. Okay. And again, as the clerk -- as being a member of the Session and being an elder of the church now for ten years -- nine years -- excuse me 13 years, it's your understanding, your belief that the Session is well within it's power to ask Mr. Davis not to be there?
A. Yes.

GENERAL HARRIS: Those are my questions for this witness, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. Questions, Mr. Davis.

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. DAVIS:
Q. Mr. Troxel, what is the denomination?
A. Presbyterian Church of America.
Q. And can you give me a little history of that denomination, when it was founded?
A. I believe it was founded in either the late $70^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ or early $80^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$.
Q. Do you know where they first met when they found it?
A. As far as the very first PCA church? No, I don't.
Q. Yes. First PCA meeting and the constitution of the church was formed?
A. No.
Q. Are you a member of the League of the South?
A. The what?
Q. Are you a member of the League of the South?
A. No. I don't know what that is.
Q. Are you a supporter or anything with the Kershaw Foundation?

GENERAL HARRIS: I'm going to object to relevancy.

THE COURT: Do you care do be heard, Mr. Davis about how that is relevant?

MR. DAVIS: Well, this is about banning people and there is a history inside the PCA of blocking people and banning.

THE COURT: Well, the specific question was about the Kershaw?

MR. DAVIS: Yeah.
THE COURT: Does that have something to do with the Presbyterian --

MR. DAVIS: There is a guy named Jack Kershaw was a big attorney, and he defended James Earl Ray and so I'm just asking if he --

THE COURT: No, what I'm trying to figure out, does that have anything to do with Presbyterians of America?

MR. DAVIS: Sure. They are down in Birmingham. That's where they had their first meeting back in 1973.

THE COURT: Okay. So do you want to know if Mr. Troxel is a member of the Kershaw --

MR. DAVIS: I'm asking if he's a member of the PCA and there are people that affiliated members, and I'm just asking him because there is a history of --

THE COURT: But your question was: Is he a member of the Kershaw Foundation?

MR. DAVIS: Yeah.
THE WITNESS: No. I don't know what that is.

BY MR. DAVIS:
Q. Do you go by -- do you all go by session, an elder or is there anything else that you call yourselves?
A. The Session or elders.
Q. You all don't use the word "ruling"?
A. Yeah, we have ruling elders and teaching elders.
Q. Is there a reason why that word ruling is in there and maybe doesn't have a softer term? Is there some --
A. You know, I don't know the origination of it. Basically there is -- the teaching elder would be the pastors, and the ruling elders would be those that are there to basically for government purposes. Church government. That's not a term that's special to Covenant Presbyterian.
Q. You testified a minute ago that there was -- or he said there was a ground swelling?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. I think you said that people -- members

Covenant Deacon Matt Anderson said the deacons were not informed.
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were concerned?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. And that caused you to write the letter to me?
A. Yeah, the Session basically approved for me to write the letter.

UNTRUE. Clerk Troxel went "rogue" without Session or Member approval
See Covenant Board Minutes at the back of this link. Exculpatory info
Q. They did?
A. Uh-huh. was provided to DA.
Q. Did you call the police?
A. No. No.
Q. You just testified that people are over there in terror and afraid that I was going to come over there and do something to their children, so it wouldn't --
A. Well, the members -- the members of either the diaconate or the Session that were tasked with that did -- did arrange for security.
Q. So the ruling elders whenever they feel like somebody might be coming out here to come up and shoot up a church or something like that that happened down in Charleston, they are going to handle it on their own; is that what you all do internally?
A. No. No. We felt like that was the appropriate measure to take.
Q. Well, he's already lead off today about

2008 Covenant Member Worrick Robinson was ex-Judge Casey Moreland's Attorney in a 2018 Federal Trial on "public corruption." Casey Moreland was convicted and is now serving time in Federal Prison.
fear. And so you've got a school there, I mean I'm -- I'm not agreeing to things that are being said in there, but I'm just asking naturally, because I like kind of logical natural things.

So if the school in town thought there was going to be a threat, did that call the police department, do they go get their own body guards? What happens in those kind of circumstances? And so you all didn't call the police?
A. This was the measured response that was recommended by an attorney. And we had sent the letter to you letting you know about the trespass and --
Q. Who was the attorney?
A. There was Worrick Robinson was one of the attorneys that was consulted.
Q. He is the Vanderbilt rape attorney?
A. I don't know him as that.

Austin Davis was secretly banned from the Vanderbilt Rape Trials as part of the ongoing "Mann Act" Federal crime cover-up.
Q. You read the -- I think the letter may have been handed, I don't know I'm just looking at it. I wrote it. I remember it. It says after six long years is way it begins, I have prayerfully and reluctantly provided you and older members of the -other members of the commonwealth have serious and true information regarding events which occurred in
the Lord's house.
Were you an elder at Covenant in 2002?
A. No.
Q. 2003?
A. No.
Q. 2004?
A. I think it was 2005 when I became an elder.
Q. Is it possible you missed something?
A. Well, I wasn't present at that time as far as 2002.
Q. I mean, I know this is going to be -this is my letter, it's pretty demonized in Nashville, but it could be a letter that the jury or anybody could look at and go, hmm, there is a guy here that's been very patient. And has asked questions and submitted to his church government and it could be viewed not as a dangerous threat letter, it could be viewed as somebody who is actually concerned about something going on in a house with ruling elders and other people, maybe information isn't getting to people. Could it be perceived that way?
A. No, I think it was received as an escalation and that we had received enough

If it is abnormal to follow the appeal process prescribed by the PCA Book of Church Order, why are PCA Officers trained and provided the Book?
correspondence from you over a long period of time and that seemed like an calculation, that was the concern.
Q. So when a person is an officer in the church as -- you are elected by the people, right the people elected you?
A. Yes, uh-huh.
Q. Do they elect you to go in there and view -- when you take that office, do you have a fiduciary responsibility and a stewardship responsibility and actually a responsibility where you could kind of watch out for what's going on in the house of God? Do you have that?
A. Sure.
Q. So, I was also dually elected to and was serving as a deacon.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. And so would it be abnormal for a person in that kind of a position to be putting things down on paper, keeping a documented trail and writing information and sending it and making appeals inside of that government?
A. I would say it would be abnormal, yes.
Q. You would say what?
A. I would say it's abnormal.
Q. You think it's abnormal to make appeals within the church?
A. Just the manner in which you are approaching it, yes.
Q. Is it abnormal to resign from your office because you don't want to be part of and are very concerned about what leadership is doing?
A. That's not abnormal, no.
Q. Huh?
A. No, that's not abnormal. I mean, most officers continue to serve, but it is -- it is common for officers to resign.
Q. I resigned in 2006?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. You told the jury a minute ago, and I guess when the DA asked the question that I wasn't a member?
A. I think he asked if you were no longer --
Q. A member, but you said I wasn't attending?
A. I don't think that's what I said.
Q. Huh?
A. I don't think that's what I said. was?

THE COURT: He was asked about whether or not you were a member on June 25 th, ' 08 , he said you were not a member at that time. So do you have a question about --

MR. DAVIS: I thought he said something a little later that I wasn't attending, it was when he got asked about when that letter got written. Because the letter that I wrote was on the 25 th and on the 27 th, which I believe was a Friday, when I got the call --

THE COURT: The jury has heard what he said, but what is your question? Are you wanting to ask whether you were attending?

MR. DAVIS: No. I thought he told the jury that I was not attending.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, they have
heard it. What is your question to this witness?
MR. DAVIS: He said that I just showed up within the next few weeks, it was the following Sunday it was on the 29th.

THE WITNESS: Okay.
THE COURT: Okay. So what is your

Deacon Matt Anderson said there were about 10 unknown members of the secret armed assault unit.
question?

BY MR. DAVIS:
Q. Do you remember me coming the two days later on the 29th?
A. Yes. Yes, I do.
Q. And how many people did you all have there to stand up against me and my wife and my two children?
A. Two or four is what I recall.
do you mean stand up?
THE WITNESS: Well, I think what he
means is --

MR. DAVIS: Blocked you at a front door of a church and tell you can't come in.

THE COURT: I'm just asking to make
sure that the jury is clear. Y'all seem to be clear, you and the witness, but what do you mean stand up?

THE WITNESS: Well, I think what he
means is security personnel.
THE COURT: Okay. So you're saying
there were two to four.
THE WITNESS: Yeah two to four, that's

There was a "groundswell" of fear but $1000+$ members were not told about security threat?
what I recall.
THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead. I just wanted to clarify that for the jury. Go ahead.

BY MR. DAVIS:
Q. Was commonwealth informed that?
A. What do you mean by commonwealth.
Q. That's what it's called, it is people.
A. Do you mean the members of the congregation?
Q. The voting members of the congregation, they are a commonwealth, were they informed?
A. I don't think they were informed that there was going to be security personnel present.
Q. Is the Presbyterian Church of America a private club or is it an open club, do you have a public call to worship, do you have a private call to worship? Exactly what goes on at the Presbyterian Church of America? How does it operate on Sunday?
A. It's an open.
Q. Anybody can come?
A. Yes, uh-huh. Unless they have been specifically told they are not welcome as we communicated to you. That's definitely the exception.

How do the jurors know who really owns the Covenant Property if the DA did not show them a property deed?
Q. Your name is on the letter, is your name The DA Prosecution team did on the property deed? not provide the jury with a
A. No. property deed as evidence.
Q. Is the sessions named on the property deed?
A. I don't know.
Q. You don't know? Your a real estate guy and you're coming into court and you don't know? You've never seen the deed?
A. I've not looked at the deed, no.
Q. Don't you think that the clerk of the Session, it's kind of important for you to know things like that, especially if you are banning people?
A. I don't think it's important for me to read the deed, no, not as the clerk of the Session.
Q. Where would you get the authority to tell people they can't come to a church property?

GENERAL HARRIS: Judge, I'm going to object to form, we are just getting --

THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead. Do you understand the question? Where do you get the authority, is that what your question?

MR. DAVIS: This is a nonprofit

Scott Troxel continued to lie that the Covenant Session authorized him to write the 2008 trespass letter, but Scott Troxel's own Session Minutes impugned his own sworn testimony.
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Scott Troxel testified to convict and jail an innocent man for up to a year, but he didn't know the property rules from his own church by-laws?
registered the State and --
THE COURT: I'm not -- is that your
question?
MR. DAVIS: Yeah. Where does he get
the authority?
THE WITNESS: The congregation votes on the elders. Once you become an elder, it's considered an -- you're in office for life and the Session itself basically elects the clerk for certain periods of time and so the Session had elected me as clerk and basically it authorized me to write the letter and it was sent to you. untrue

BY MR. DAVIS:
Q. So you've read the Book of Church Order?
A. I'm very familiar with it, yes.
Q. Are you familiar with chapter nine about the deacon, which was my office?
A. You know, I don't know the Book of Church Order by chapter and specific point.
Q. There is a specific point in there that nothing can be done with the church property without the consent of the congregation. Did you all get the consent of the congregation?
A. I think that the Session -- if you look

Secretly banning a member or visitor from a public worship sanctuary is not an important "real estate matter?"

13
The PCA Book of Church Order does prohibit the use of civil authority to enforce spiritual discipline. Spiritual leaders used civil authority to kill Jesus.
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at the portion about the Session, the Session does have that authority.
Q. Well, then why does it have the deacons where they have to get the consent of the Session -the congregation and the approval of the Session before they do anything regarding the property?
A. I'm just not familiar enough with the book -- the Book of Church Order to be able to argue that. But from what I've seen in the Book of Church Order, the Session does have the authority to do that. They have to take matters of real estate to the congregation for a congregational vote. But as far as nothing within the BCO, that's acronym for Book of Church Order, limits the Session from prohibiting somebody to coming on the property.
Q. So just any -- there on that church, even there, there are some very specific -- why are the deacons created? Why would they give them that kind of authority? Why would they say be in there and be watch dogs and watch out for the congregations property? Because that's exactly what it calls it. It's not the deacons property and it's not the Session's property. So why would Presbyterians, why would they have the decon's as the stewards over it?
A. I don't know.

Motion in Limme 4 was granted to the DA's Prosecution Team a few days before the trial began. Defendant Austin Davis was pro- objection? hibited from saying anything about the child sex abuse coverup as the "Mann Act" Federal crime cover-up continued in court protected by the DA's Office. See Page 4 and 5 of this link. years?
Q. And you have been an elder for ten
A. Uh-huh. Yeah.
Q. Were you ever a deacon?
A. Yes, I was a deacon.
Q. Did you ever run anybody off of the property because you didn't like the way they looked?
A. No.
Q. You ever asked anybody to leave the property beforehand?
A. No.
Q. In this particular case, the Session got together after letters written to members of the church telling them that there is a very serious scandal that's gone on in the church for six years, and all of the sudden we've got guards at the doors and trespass letters being sent?
A. Yes.
Q. That's just normal and --
A. No, that's not normal.
Q. What were you guys hiding then?

GENERAL HARRIS: Objection.
THE COURT: Okay. What is your

GENERAL HARRIS: Speculative nature of the question.

THE COURT: Okay. I -- he can answer it, Do you know of anything that -THE WITNESS: We were hiding nothing. Ale. BY MR. DAVIS:
Q. You what?
A. We were hiding nothing. AlUE,
Q. I mean, I didn't introduce this into evidence, you introduced it into evidence.

THE COURT: What is your question? MR. DAVIS: I'm just trying to ask questions.

THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
MR. DAVIS: Weli, right now I feel very
limited because I've been in pre-trial motions and he's just told me that --

THE COURT: What questions you wanting to ask?

MR. DAVIS: Are -- I want to ask him what happened to the child molester that went to Arizona in April of -- right before all of this happened to me? He went to Arizona for treatment.

THE COURT: All right. Why don't you break that down into -- you're making assumptions through the question.

Do you know anything about a child abuser?

THE WITNESS: I know what he's talking about. But I don't know anything about Arizona or what you mentioned.

THE COURT: Okay. Can you answer his question as it's worded?

THE WITNESS: I --
MR. DAVIS: It's a very direct
question. There was a child sex --
THE COURT: Why don't you rephrase it. I may have messed it up.

THE WITNESS: Did you say --
THE COURT: Rephrase your question.
What are you wanting to ask?
In an abundance of caution, protected information is REDACTED in submission to Attorney Larry Crain's permanent BY MR. DAVIS: injunction request granted on 9/24/2018.
Q. I want to know if you know about REDACTED , the coauthor of Mike Huckabee that is a child molester that the church put children in his home and he went off to Arizona and got treatment in April of 2008?

THE COURT: Okay. Hang on. Do you
know anything about a REDACTED ?
MR. DAVIS: REDACTED .
THE WITNESS: I know what he's talking about.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, answer that first and then we can go forward.

THE WITNESS: So was it: Do I know, is
that what you --

BY MR. DAVIS:
Q. Well, y'all --
A. I'm sorry, I --
Q. I'm asking about six years of my concern about children?
A. I'm just trying to remember if you are asking, did I know him?
Q. You said there is nothing that you were hiding. So I'm asking you: Okay, do you know about that?
A. Yes, I do.

THE COURT: And the question is, just so I'm clear: Who is REDACTED ?

MR. DAVIS: He's a coauthor with --

Child sex abuse therapist Caroline Post Cone testified Covenant Pastor
Larry Ferris called her for help in April 2008. Judge Thomas Brothers provided the sworn testimony to DA Glenn Funk in Sept 2018, but the DA's Office will continue to defend the "malicious prosecution" on July 12, 2019, before Judge Cheryl Blackburn.

THE COURT: No, I'm not -- I'm trying to help you break it down into.

MR. DAVIS: Okay. I'm sorry.
THE COURT: Break it down into snippets of questions.

THE WITNESS: Sure. REDACTED was a former member, he was a deacon and he revealed to the Session that he --

THE COURT: He what? I didn't understand what you said, revealed?

THE WITNESS: Well, no, no, no. Actually I don't think he revealed. I'm trying to recall how we found out, but basically we learned that he had molested REDACTED .

THE COURT: Okay. What is your next question?

BY MR. DAVIS:
Q. Do you know if there are any other children molested because the church was putting people in his safe house?
A. I'm sorry, what?
Q. You've already told me you weren't hiding anything, and now you're telling me you know something like that, that's kind of important to

Asst DA Harris heard
sworn testimony proving a child sex abuse cover-up but he still pushed harder for a "malicious prosecution," and will still defend the "malicious prosecution" on July 12, 2019.
know. Did anybody call the police?
A. About what?
Q. About REDACTED
A. Well, he -- this had been relayed to us after REDACTED
Q. So you all don't report molesters to the police?

GENERAL HARRIS: Your Honor, I mean, I've let this go on. The Court has ruled on this.

THE COURT: Well, yeah, I mean, I have. I think Mr. Davis has a right to -- for the jury to try to understand, and I'm trying to facilitate this. What's meant by this first sentence in this letter is what he's talking about and what was brought up.

So I think in your questions, Mr. Davis, you are putting assumptions and things that this witness hasn't talked about. So if you can make your questions more succinct, maybe we can get on here.

MR. DAVIS: I'm responding to him saying we are not hiding anything.

THE COURT: Okay. So your question is what?

MR. DAVIS: Well, the DA has just been in the --

What if the Covenant Attorney was wrong or deliberately lied?

What if Covenant Leaders did have a burden to report a child-molester?

It was at least one year before the child-molester resigned, and 3 years before he was excommunicated. The molester is still free to attend Covenant, but Nancy Fleming, Catherine Davis, Daisy Davis, and Austin Davis are still banned and publicly declared to be "ignorant and scandalous" by Covenant Leaders. ex-Judge Casey Moreland's Attorney in a 2018 Federal Trial on "public corruption." Casey Moreland was convicted and is now serving time in Federal Prison.

board minutes from that meeting?
THE COURT: You wanting to show him something?

MR. DAVIS: Yeah.
THE COURT: Okay. What is that?
And does the State -- show that to
the -- see if that have that or want to see it.
(Document passed.)
All right. What is your question?

BY MR. DAVIS:
Q. Can you tell me when that board meeting was?
A. This says July 14th, 2008.
Q. And who was in that board meeting as guest at the church property?
A. It says Worrick Robinson, provided background concerning Austin Davis.
Q. Who else was there? It's got guest over there on the --
A. Oh, yeah.
Q. Worrick was one of the guests and then
who was the other?
A. And then Officer Tony Chec (phonetic)
with Metro Nashville Police.
Q. So a police officer came to a church board meeting?

How many times did Nashville Police Officers attend Covenant Meetings?
A. Yes.
Q. And then it's got my name down there where I am being discussed; is that right?
A. Yes, uh-huh.
Q. Do you want to read that so everybody can hear what they are discussing about?
A. Let's see, it says: Worrick Robinson provided background concerning Austin Davis. Mr. Robinson advised that the Session's response to the perceived security concerns did not have to be the strongest legal response available, but a reasonable response is necessary. After a full discussion, deacons left the room for a session to continue this dated meeting.

And so this was on July 14th. I believe the letter you sent was on the 25 th of June.
Q. June the 25 th.
A. Right. So this would have been in our stated meeting, I believe.
Q. A couple of weeks later?
A. Yeah, uh-huh. This is in our regularly scheduled session meeting.

Per the Session Minutes for May 19, 2008, the next Session meeting was originally set for July 21, 2008, but it got moved up to July $14^{\text {th }}$ to manage the cover-up crisis.
Q. And do you see anything on there about

REDACTED ?
A. One of the items is to accept REDACTED's resignation from the diaconate. Was the protected child-molester banned? Answer: No.

THE COURT: From the what?
THE WITNESS: Diaconate, that's the group of deacons. That's the plural of the group of deacons.

BY MR. DAVIS:
Q. Does it say anything in those minutes about telling Officer Chec or Worrick Robinson who I think is the, the Union police guy or whatever, represents the police when they go before the board. Does it say anything there at all about them reporting a known child molester to the police or is all of the attention in that board meeting focused on me?
A. There is -- no there is no mention in the minutes. We may have gone into an executive session concerning that.
Q. What does that mean? What does that mean when you go to executive session?
A. Executive session is basically when any guests are asked to leave.
Q. Like people that would come to the meeting and be sitting there and hearing some of this and then they leave the room?
A. Sure. Yeah.
Q. What gets talked about in those kind of meetings? Do you keep minutes?
A. Oh, yes, we do.
Q. How do you get -- how does anybody get them then that's in the church? How do you get access to those kind of minutes?
A. I would say as far as executive sessions are concerned the presbyteros have the opportunity to look at them. But as far as individual members, I would say it wouldn't be without the approval of the Session.
Q. So it is normal practice that the PCA that if you've got a child molester in your mist you let that guy resign, you don't report him, but then you take somebody else who is asking questions, and you make him into a terror threat?

GENERAL HARRIS: I'm going to object to form +

THE COURT: Okay. What they are objecting to is the way you are phrasing the
question. So do you want to rephrase it?
MR. DAVIS: I'm sorry. I'm not
trained.

BY MR. DAVIS:
Q. What -- how does it get termed -- it gets reported as threats and who are the real threats? I mean, how do you -- I'm just trying to ask: You all didn't deem REDACTED a threat to anybody?
A. Well, sure. Yes.
Q. What did you do?
A. Well, we did take action. He was no longer a deacon. And also I mean, I'm trying to think what else -- other actions we took at that --

The child-molester was a "threat" to children but Covenant Leaders did nothing but to protect him as they falsely gaslighted and defamed Defendant Austin Davis. Still going on as the "Mann Act" Federal coverup crime continues in 2019.
Q. Did he --

THE COURT: Hang on let him finish.
THE WITNESS: I don't recall the timing REDACTED of when left the --

MR. DAVIS: Can I help his memory? I have another document I would like to --

THE COURT: Okay.
THE WITNESS: Well, he may have been excommunicated from what $I$ recall.

The Asst. DA had the exculpatory excommunication document but the Asst. DA still submitted the Motion in Limme 4 document pressing hard with a vicious "malicious prosecution" under the color of law. At least the Duke Lacrosse prosecutors finally backed off, but not in Nashville.

BY MR. DAVIS:
Q. Do you recall when that was done?
A. No, I don't recall specific dates on
that.
Q. The next week when he got back from
treatment or...
A. Like I said, I don't recall.
Q. Okay. (Document passed.)

GENERAL HARRIS: Me we approach, Your
Honor?

THE COURT: Okay.
(Whereupon, the following bench
conference was conducted out of the hearing of the jury:)

GENERAL HARRIS: Judge, I don't object to the document itself. I do object to a document not in its unredacted form. There are notes written in the margins and a bunch of commentary on the side.

THE COURT: You are just wanting to
show him this?
MR. DAVIS: I'm sorry?
THE COURT: You are just wanting to

The excommunication document was admitted as an exhibit but the jury could only focus on the aggravated trespass charge, not the child sex abuse. The jury was out about 4-5 hours, and the Davis family saw Judge Kelvin Jones come over to the criminal court building and go upstairs. Did anyone go inside with the jurors during deliberation?
 about the date?

MR. DAVIS: Yeah. Because it's two years later.

THE COURT: Okay. He just doesn't want this admitted with your notes on it.

MR. DAVIS: That's fine.
THE COURT: You're not wanting this admitted, you are just wanting to show this witness? MR. DAVIS: Well, I would like to get
it admitted, I can white it out. I have whiteout. THE COURT: Okay.

GENERAL HARRIS: There is something on the second page.

MR. DAVIS: I can white it out. I got whiteout over there.

THE COURT: What is this?
MR. DAVIS: That is the actual where
did -- what they read to the congregation.
THE COURT: Okay. Well, let's -- okay.
You can step back.
MR. DAVIS: Huh?
THE COURT: You can step back.
(Whereupon, the following was conducted within the hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: All right. You are wanting, Mr. Davis, to show Mr. Troxel this in terms of the dates?

MR. DAVIS: Yeah. And I would like to have it presented. Like I said, I've got whiteout. I've got some notes written on there, but I can white them out if that's problem.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, let -- don't worry about the handwriting on there. What is your question?

BY MR. DAVIS:
Q. I want to know if that helps him remember how long it was before REDACTED was excommunicated from Covenant?
A. This is -- the congregational meeting was held June 6th, 2010.

Two years and two months after Pastor Larry Ferris contacted child
sex abuse therapist, Caroline Post Cone, the "Mann Act" Federal crime cover-up was still on-going full speed.

THE COURT: And what was done then?
THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. There was a
congregational meeting part of -- and the purpose was to inform the congregation that the Session had
excommunicated REDACTED.

BY MR. DAVIS:
Q. And can you read what they said? That's done in front of a meeting of people in the church. Can you read what it says on the second page down there? What they -- what as the congregation, right, did all of the congregation get together just like we did before the hearing, you all got together and heard everything and then decided to excommunicate; is that how it works?
A. Just a moment. Let me read this. I'm sorry what was the question?

THE COURT: Is that what was read to the congregation?

BY MR. DAVIS:
Q. For those of us who might not go to church right now or haven't been in this PCA ruling elder group deduce an act like that, to execute and be able to read it in public, you are reading it in church, right? You have a meeting and all of the people coming and you read that to every body, so you are pronouncing a -- supporting a "heinous and repetitive" child sex abuse cover-up was provided to DA Harris in the courtroom but he still pushed harder to win a "malicious prosecution," and DA Harris will still defend the "malicious prosecution" on July 12, 2019 before Judge Cheryl Blackburn.
A. Yes.
Q. -- decision --
A. Right.
Q. From the rulers?
A. Right.
Q. And so you are coming -- and that means there has been some kind of internal court case that's gone --
A. Exactly.
Q. Empowered but what they are actually doing, is they are excommunicating him and cutting him off from the Lord's table and saying you can't have -- you can't have the wine, you can't have the bread, you are cut off?
A. Correct. Correct.
Q. And so that was in June 6th, 2010?
A. Yes. Uh-huh.
Q. And can you read what the pronouncement of the judgment was?
A. Okay. Sure. It says where as REDACTED has confessed committing heinous and repetitive sin against REDACTED and has not shown evidence of repentance, the Session of Cumberland Presbyterian Church has declared he is excluded from it's acumen and cut off from the fellowship of the church. Transcriptaccuracy?

This session has taken this action with sorrow and the desire to see him repent and return to Christ and the fellowship of his church.
Q. And that action was taken two years later?
A. Yes. Yes.
Q. No police was called?
A. No.
Q. Now, does it say in there -- does it say he has shown no evidence of repentance? Is that what that line says?
A. Yes, it's what it says.
Q. So for two year, he's been in the church amongst leadership and you got there -- private school up there that lots of children were coming to?
A. No, he was not in leadership.
Q. He had access to the children and he was around them. And did you get out and tell everybody in the church he had molested children?
A. Not that -- not from what I recall. He was given the opportunity. First of all, there is an opportunity for him to reconcile with his wife. And REDACTED I believe, from what I recall is basically just stopped engaging. He was no longer coming to church and basically was not responding to any of the

1

The molester was finally excommunicated for not responding and coming to church, not for childmolesting?

The "Mann Act" does not have a statute of limitations for child sex abuse.
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Clerk Troxel lies again in front of the DA Prosecution Team and Judge Dozier after providing sworn testimony that he knew about the child sex abuse. action.
overtures from the Session. It's part of the process of discipline basically. And it was his lack of response that basically lead to this decision, this
Q. And so it says he shows no evidence of repentance?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. And you all excommunicated him?
A. Correct.
Q. And turned him loose on the streets of Nashville without anybody knowing about him?
A. There was nothing -- from what we were informed, there was nothing that could be communicated as far as to the police because

Nashville Police were a part of the "Mann Act" Federal crime cover-up.
Q. But you all have no problem talking to the police about me?
A. Correct.
Q. But you weren't hiding anything?
A. Nope.
Q. You just -- this is just totally
disconnected. You are down there and you just fire a
letter off because I wrote a letter and said
something has been going on here for six years --
A. Like I said --

Scott Troxel goes on offense to blame Austin Davis for bringing the child sex abuse "to light."

More lying. Austin Davis did not do this prior to the Troxel 2008 trespass letter.
Q. -- and that goes back to 2002? How many years were there be kids that could possibly --

THE COURT: Hang on. You are asking multiple questions. Let him answer the one you had asked. Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: Just the pattern on your part of essentially harassing parishioners before REDACTED ever came to light, that situation ever came to light, you were already harassing members. As far as anytime anybody would join the congregation, you would add them to your e-mail or mailing list and begin to hit them as well, whether it's e-mails or letters.

Clerk Troxel lied again in front of the DA Prosecution Team and Judge Dozier after providing sworn testimony that he knew about the child BY MR. DAVIS: sex abuse.
Q. So the PCS perceives people who care about children, who stand up and speak out about it that they proceed -- I didn't know about the molestation at that time, all I was asking was what about these other children placed in this house because I was concerned about them because I saw some signs that bothered me. So what you're telling me was that you guys knew about it, I didn't. But that's the way you all handled child molesters?
A. No. That's --

THE COURT: Okay. He's answered that.

BY MR. DAVIS:
Q. How do you handled child molesters?

THE COURT: You talking about generally
or REDACTED ?

BY MR. DAVIS:
Q. I want to know what the PCA does. I
want to know what you do. What is your -- what is your -- what is your operational standard procedure when child molesting going on? The Presbyterian Church in America using "relational restoration."

GENERAL HARRIS: Judge, again, we have
so far into the --

THE COURT: Okay. I will sustain the
objection. This isn't about what they do every
single time they might become aware of some
allegation.
What is your next question?
Asst. DA Harris only seems to care about winning a "malicious prosecution" instead of protecting other sexually abused children in the Presbyterian Church in America. If Mr. Harris and Mrs. Charles are being forced to prosecute, maybe they should resign to join my "pro se" legal team.

Is Scott Troxel's departure from Covenant Leadership the reason that he was served a subpoena? Did Scott Troxel not wish to testify? If Scott Troxel was forced to testify, maybe he should step forward to tell the truth. Maybe all present and former Covenant Leaders should step forward to tell the truth?
Q. You haven't seen the deed, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. You're not familiar with the Book of Church Order enough to know about chapter nine of the deacons responsibility?

GENERAL HARRIS: Objection to ask and answered, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you have a different question? He said he didn't know them by chapter.

BY MR. DAVIS:
Q. Okay. You said you were a member and an elder for $I$ think maybe close to ten years. And you left the church and you started to say October I thought but you said about a year ago, so we are in August of 2017, well, almost October, so why would you have left the church in October of $2016 ?$
A. My wife and I had chosen actually to leave prior to that.
Q. And --
A. And just because we felt it was time for us to move to a different -- under different
leadership as far as preaching and teaching was
concerned. And I stayed on through October, as far as, while the church worked through some -- a roughly patch.
Q. I was arrested in 2015, do you -- were you there when I was arrested?
A. I think I was there that day. I think I went to the early service and I was in Sunday school. I think when you came back to the hospitality --
Q. Were you there in October of last year, 2016?
A. Eor a specific day or what?
Q. Yeah. Did you go to a congregation meeting that they had in October?
A. Yes. Sure.
Q. Okay. Did you vote in the congregation meeting where they got rid of their pastor?
A. Sure, I did.
Q. Do you know what happened to the pastor?

GENERAL HARRIS: Object to relevance. THE COURT: Do you care to be heard about what some --

MR. DAVIS: We've heard about child sex abuse and things and this is a pastor in this church is split. And the current pastor is now at Julia

Green School, on public school property and these people have a history.

THE COURT: Well, I don't know. What
was your question about a vote?
MR. DAVIS: Yeah. They had a vote at the congregation where they got together and voted --

THE COURT: What was your question.
MR. DAVIS: -- was he there for the
vote?
Pastor Jim Bachmann was fired in Oct 2016 after a Covenant Congregation vote.

12
13

14
15
16

17
18
19
20
21
22

23

24
25

BY MR. DAVIS:
Q. And I said: Do you know what happened to the pastor? Did the pastor leave or stay?
A. Well, the pastor basically joined a congregation of former members of Covenant.
Q. And left?
A. And left, right.
Q. Okay. And the pastor left. Okay. Do
you know where he is now?
Did the PCA determine that Covenant was wrong in dismissing Jim Bachmann?
A. Sure.
Q. Where is he at?
A. He is at -- I think they call themselves Westminster Chapel.

The name is now changed to Stephens Valley Church.
Q. Do you know where they are meeting?
A. At Julia Green Elementary.
Q. Julia Green Public School, Elementary

School?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Did you know my family is banned from
that school too?
A. No, I didn't know that. Banned from a public school to protect a "Mann Act" Federal crime cover-up
which is still on-going?
Q. Do you know what happened to Matt Bradley? Pastor Matt Bradley, do you know where he is?
A. Yeah. He join add sister or daughter congregation. I think it's called All Saints.
Q. All saints. You know where they are meeting?
A. I think they meet in Brentwood somewhere, I don't know where.
Q. In where?
A. In Brentwood.

GENERAL HARRIS: Same objection, Judge.
THE COURT: Okay. He's answered that.
What is your next question?. Is Asst. DA Harris the biggest ally to a "Mann Act" child sex abuse cover-up a courtroom has ever seen?
BY MR. DAVIS:
Q. Do you know where Pastor J. Hager is?
A. Yeah, J. Has planted a church in Woodbine.
Q. Where?
A. Woodbine.
Q. Do you know where he is meeting?
A. I think it's Whitsitt Elementary.
Q. So he's at an elementary school, public

Pastor Carter Crenshaw, Pastor Scotty Smith, and West End Community Church planted J Hager's new church. West End Community Members must support covering up at least one child sex abuse crime in Nashville?

Does the Metro School Board care about childmolesting? If so, why do Board Members ignore Austin Davis?

GENERAL HARRIS: Your Honor --
THE COURT: Okay. What's happening at Woodbine and Brentwood and somewhere else, Mr. Davis, is not relevant here today. So I sustain the objection.

BY MR. DAVIS:
Q. Can you tell the jury some specifics about what -- what really troubled the Session about the letters? Because I'm a writer and so I spend more time writing things down a lot of times because I can be more with my thoughts. Do a lot better job maybe than what I'm doing right now, but I'm able to think about what $I$ want to say and put it down on paper. And then $I$ have a record of it. And so, can you -- we've seen this letter right here. This letter here has been -- by the ruling of the Session is a threat, ground swell and police and security are being called and everything. So can you tell me is there any of the letter that's you've got or that you've seen, have I threatened to kill anybody? Or is there anything in -- I'm some wild crazy man. I mean, do you have a -- do you not like letters being written?
A. I think reasonable letters are fine. But the manner in which your letters were written were inflammatory. You would be going down your conspiracy theory and you would hammer those points and it was fully your theories. And the value of material that you had sent to parishioners is the name thing over and over and over.

```
Q. Prior to 2008?
```
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Austin Davis wasn't sending numerous mass letters to Covenant Members prior to Scott Troxel's 2008 trespass letter.
$\checkmark$ that -a --
A. Yes. Yes.
Q. This is the first letter I had ever sent to any parishioners in 2008?
A. Well, I don't recall. But I know
Q. I was talking to the people inside of the church. I haven't seen any other letters that have come and said dear commonwealth.

Maybe one letter that I wrote about Judge Robinson that put a man in jail and got on the safe house, I wrote a letter about him being put in jail for 80 days. The father of some children got

THE COURT: Okay. What is your question? What is your question? You are asking a bunch of things at the same time.

BY MR. DAVIS:
Q. I'm trying to ask him -- he's -- sitting there that they are all terrified and people can do stuff. And I just want to know what -- I just don't see threats in this letter, and I'm just curious as to what you see as that -- as somebody that like took me around as a real estate agent and tried to sell me

Scott Troxel did not get the approval of the Session and/or $1000+$ Members but he blames the Members for his "trespass letter?"

Scott Troxel went "rogue." There was no congregational meeting to vote on a lifetime property ban.
a house and things, I'm just trying to get an understanding of what is it that really --
A. It really wasn't.
Q. I mean, is this guy really a dangerous guy?
A. It wasn't a judgment that myself or the session members had to make. Our parishioners were telling us, we are concerned, we are concerned, we don't feel safe. So the entire body was basically saying this.
Q. The entire body?
A. Well, a sufficiat number of body was saying this. We were --
Q. But you didn't have a congregational meeting with them?
A. I'm sorry?
Q. You didn't have a congressional meeting with them to get approval to write a --
A. We didn't feel like we had time.
Q. But you didn't call the police?
A. We did. I mean, we involved --
Q. Prior when you think a mad man is coming up to the church to do something you don't call the police?
A. We had plain clothes officers present.

Is Asst DA Harris protecting the secret "plain clothes police" with this objection? How many Nashville Police officers were involved in the "lay-in-wait" armed assault? Are average street cops proud of this work?
Q. Plain clothed police officers?
A. Or security personnel.
Q. I hope you know that is a big question because it's already been information that are -- are you telling me that they are plain clothed officers?
A. I don't recall if they were plain clothed officers on duty or if they were security personnel. I don't recall specifically which, but we did feel that there was level of concern that we could not take a chance on your intentions.
Q. There had been plenty of other situations where churches had been lax in providing that control on people. So they were in for -- they were in protecting and others from me, is that what you are saying?
A. Protecting everyone, whoever needed to be protected.
Q. REDACTED is a member of -- he was still a member of the diaconate, so he's officially inside of the rule where he can --

GENERAL HARRIS: I object.
THE COURT: Okay. What is your question you're asking?

MR. DAVIS: The church is being

Did Jim Bachmann wear his "bullet-proof vest" when he was a guest of John Ingram to a Vanderbilt Baseball game on April 27, 2019?
protected by a plain clothed officer. I'm just asking the question: Are you protecting MOLESTER with cops?

THE COURT: Okay. He wants to know if
MOLESTER was protected by plain clothed officers.
THE WITNESS: Basically anybody present would have be been protected or hopefully.

MR. DAVIS: Okay. Thank you.
THE COURT: All right. Any redirect?
GENERAL HARRIS: Two questions.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY GENERAL HARRIS:

Q. Mr. Troxel, after Mr. Davis was banned from the property, are you aware of any pastor or member of the church leadership that wore bulletproof vest in the pulpit?
A. I believe Jim Bachmann did, yes, the senior pastor.
Q. Okay. Was that -- to your knowledge was that as a result of Mr . Davis?
A. Certainly.
Q. Again, after this occurred, was there other security meetings had by the church regarding Mr. Davis?
A. Well, there were subsequent meetings
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2
The gaslighting occurred in 2013 as the "Mann Act" Federal crime cover-up intensified and Sandy Hook was used to further defame and demonize Austin Davis.

The Asst. DA heard the sworn testimony about child sex abuse but he still called it a "myth" as the "malicious prosecution" to protect a "Mann Act" Federal crime cover-up continued in a Tennessee courtroom.

What if Austin Davis always had a legal right to attend Covenant? What if he wasn't really a nutcase criminal during the past 11 years?
several years later when there was a flurry of now -kind of a new entity of e-mails.
Q. From him?
A. Yes. So members again were concerned about safety. It was shortly after Sandy Hook and there were members, especially parents that were concerned about the safety of their children.
Q. Okay. And Mr. Davis talked about some of the myths, I believe that he believes the church is hiding from him or something. Are you aware that Mr. Davis ever attempted to subpoena any of this information?
A. I'm not aware of it.
Q. Before you sent the letter to Mr. Davis banning him from property, had the church ever had to ban anyone before to your knowledge?
A. Not to my knowledge.
Q. What about after?
A. Not to my -- I don't believe so.

THE COURT: All right. Any additional questions, Mr. Davis based on that series of questions?

RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. DAVIS:
Q. Can you tell me -- I know you don't do chapters or anything, but can you tell me where it is in the Book of Church Order that gives you the authority or the Session to do what you just said?

GENERAL HARRIS: Objection, asked and answered, Your Honor.

MR. DAVIS: Huh?
THE COURT: Okay, He is saying that that wasn't part of what was asked about on redirect. You can't just keep going.

MR. DAVIS: Oh, I can't ask something else?

THE COURT: Well, if you just keep thinking of things you forgot the first time, then we would be here forever, but you are wanting to know -you are wanting to know within the church book --

MR. DAVIS: Well, there is a huge dispute here going on be between me and a group of people --

THE COURT: He's wanting to know without chapters and things, is there something within -- what is it referred to?

MR. DAVIS: I'm wanting to know where
his authority, where the authority comes from.
THE COURT: What is the church book?
What is it referred to?
MR. DAVIS: Its called the Presbyterian church of -- Book of Church Order.

THE COURT: Book of Church Order, is there anything specific, not citing chapters, that you are aware of sitting here about banning people?

THE WITNESS: It doesn't say
specifically banning people. But it says the Session
has authority to make acts for the congregation
without going to a congressional meeting except
matters of like real estate and there was one other
specific term.
Clerk Troxel went "rogue" without
Session or Member approval. See Covenant Board Minutes at the back of this link. Exculpatory info
BY MR. DAVIS: was provided to DA.
Q. So the money matters are more important than the actually human being, so the church is set
up -- Should church leaders be more concerned about "human souls" or money?

GENERAL HARRIS: Same objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. You -- that's more of argumentive question from your perspective.

MR. DAVIS: Okay. I will try to --

BY MR. DAVIS:
Q. So the church leaders will go have a congregation, a meeting to talk to people about money, borrowing money, doing anything with the property that is money related, building a little house on it or whatever, they will go meet with them? But they don't go meet with them if they decide they don't like somebody or something that bothers them, so they ban them. Is that what you are saying?
A. I would say each situation is going to be a little different and you had provided you are letter in a certain timeframe that we needed to act. And I think it was that following Sunday was when we had the congregational meeting that was related to somebody else that you were wanting to attempt that was your letter was about, was attending the congregational meeting.
Q. Is that the Sunday meeting where you all invited my daughter who was 13 back to the church?
A. I don't recall.
Q. And then had security guards put on her?
A. I don't know what you are --
Q. Would that be the sunday meeting, the next meeting?
A. I don't know what you are talking about.
Q. You don't remember?
A. Huh-huh.
Q. And she went alone.

THE COURT: Okay. That's not a question.

BY MR. DAVIS:
Q. Well, I just want to -- is that normal?

THE COURT: What? Is what normal? MR. DAVIS: For them to hire a bunch of people and have them walk around behind a 13 year old girl while she is on the property?

THE COURT: Do you know anything about people walking behind a 13 year old?

THE WITNESS: No, I don't.
THE COURT: He doesn't know.
MR. DAVIS: He doesn't know about that?
THE COURT: That's what he just said. MR. DAVIS: He's the clerk in the

Session and he's on the ruling session?
THE COURT: What is your next question? MR. DAVIS: All right. Thank you.

THE COURT: Any other questions?
GENERAL HARRIS: No Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir.
You can leave that there.
Who is the next witness?
GENERAL HARRIS: Call Judge Bryant.
SURPRISE WITNESS - NOT ON DA'S WITNESS LIST
JOHN BRYANT,
was called as a witness, and after having first duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY GENERAL HARRIS:
Q. Good afternoon, sir. Will you please state your name for the court.
A. My name is John Bryant.
Q. And where are you from?
A. From Nashville.
Q. And what do you do for a living?
A. I'm retired.
Q. What was your previous occupation?
A. I was a practicing lawyer for 33 years and then I spent ten years serving as a magistrate judge for the United States District Court here in Nashville. 43 years of law experience.
Q. So you would have been a federal --
federal magistrate; is that correct?
A. That's correct.

The DA Prosecution Team wanted the jury to know that Federal Judge John Bryant "outranked" everyone in the courtroom including Judge Dozier.
Q. Because federal magistrates outrank prosecutors, I'm going to call Judge Bryant, if that's okay?
A. Well, you can call me -- Mr. Bryant is fine.
Q. What type of things -- so the jury knows, what type of things does a federal magistrate do?
A. The magistrate judges do the preliminary parts of both civil cases and criminal cases that are filed in a federal court.
Q. And before that, being in practice as an attorney, did you practice primarily in civil or criminal?
A. I did civil work. I didn't do any criminal work at all.
Q. And obviously as a judge, you -- you know, saw some of both; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. But it's not your role as a judge that brings you to court today; do you understand that?
A. That's right.
Q. Were you a member of Covenant

Presbyterian?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. And how long have you been a member of that church?
A. My wife and I began attending Covenant in September of 2007 and we joined the church in December of that year.
Q. Do you know Austin Davis?
A. I do not. I've never -- to my knowledge I have never met him.
Q. Never met him, all right. You were not in session in 2008 ; is that right?
A. I was not.
Q. But you are now?
A. Yes.
Q. And how did you get involved -- and would you be considered an elder in the church?
A. Yes. In the Presbyterian church, the board of elders is called a session, and that's -and you are elected as an elder by the -- a vote of the congregation.
Q. When did you become an elder in Covenant Presbyterian?
A. August of 2012.
Q. All right. And it's your job as an
elder in the church that brings you to court today; is that correct?
A. I suppose so.
Q. You don't know Mr. Davis personally?
A. Do not.
Q. But your dealing with Mr. Davis is what brings you in; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Mr. Bryant were you -- what knowledge do you have since you became elder about Mr. Davis' contact with Covenant Presbyterian Church?
A. Well, I haven't had any direct dealings with Mr. Davis, but I became aware that for many years he has engaged in a campaign of writing hundreds, if not thousands, of e-mails about our church. Also number of different grievances that Mr. Davis has with the church, in particular our former pastor and a number of former officers. These have been sent to everybody. I mean our congregation, to employees of the school that was maintained there on the premiss by the church of Covenant school.

He sent it to government officials, the mayor, Mayor Karl Dean. I'm sure Mayor Barry has gotten them. The District Attorney's office, the

The sworn testimony proves Federal Judge John Bryant and public servants don't seem to care about child sex abuse.
police department, FBI, the US Attorney's office. I think the governor's office has got them. He has sent them to public officials in Washington making various claims of wrongdoing by members of the church.
Q. At some point, the church saw to do something about this; is that correct?
A. Yes, they did.
Q. And you were part of a group of people that were organized to bring about some -- you know, basically had a deal with Mr. Davis; do I understand that correctly?
A. Well, with the Session of the church created a four or five person commission to look into legal remedies that the church might have against Mr. Davis, and $I$ was on that. The Jail Commission?
Q. Is there anything in particular that caused the church to form that commission or one incident in particular, or if that's not true then --
A. I can't think of one particular incident. I think it was just an ongoing incessant campaign against -- e-mails primarily against our church . Before I became on session, Mr. Davis had been sent a letter telling him he was not to come back.

A friend informed the Defendant a Covenant Commission was trying to find a way to put the Defendant in jail. Tennessean Religion Reporter Bob Smietana was provided the info, but the Tennessean sees "nothing to write about."
Q. Yes, sir.
A. He had come on a few occasions to the church. And whenever he did, there was trouble. There was a confrontation and --

Covenant Leaders caused "trouble" to protect a "Mann Act" Federal crime cover-up which is still ongoing.
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Judge Carol Soloman demolished all 3 lawsuits even though she knew about the child sex abuse from 2009-2012. Judge Soloman would not recuse denying the Davis Family a fair trial in Tennessee Courts. All cases were won by fraud. I came to
Q. What do you mean by that?
A. Well, he -- for example, he was -- he He was stopped in the hall by two of our elders. And there was a confrontation there that later lead to a lawsuit that Mr. Davis filed against the church and against some of those elders alleging that he had been assaulted by those elders. My information is that lawsuit has since been dismissed. But that's just an example of things that happened when Mr. Davis comes and so we prefer that he not come.
Q. Uh-huh. So was any of this done out of fear for Mr. Davis?
A. Fear of him?
Q. Yes, sir.
A. Yes. I think that there was some fear of him.
Q. If you don't mind, tell the jury a little bit about that fear, what it was based on and what was done as a result of that?
A. Well, the best example I can recall when

The Nashville Police closed the child sex abuse case in Dec 2015.
Eight high-level police authorities informed the Davis family on Dec 5, 2012.

After Austin Davis informed Covenant Members about the on-going child sex abuse coverup, he was apparently gaslighted as being "capable" of a Sandy Hook shooting like Adam Lanza-even though Covenant Leaders knew he had previous secret service clearance.

I was -- I was involved in this, this was early 2013, Mr. Davis stepped up his e-mail campaign against the church. And he sent a series of e-mails to our membership, congregation membership, also to employees of the school. We have a kindergarten through sixth grade school. It's a private school the church runs as a ministry. And the tone and content of these e-mails alarmed people. And I think in fairness part of that alarm was because that just in December of 2012 was the shooting of the school children at the Sandy Hook Elementary School in Connecticut by a man named Adam Lanza which was all over the news, it was a horrible thing. And think it was fresh in everybody's mind at the time.

So Mr. Davis send a number of these e-mails and people read those and became very concerned that Mr. Davis who most of them did not even know, but they kept getting e-mails from him, was capable of something like this. So we had a meeting at the church. It was an informational meeting. It was conducted one Sunday afternoon at $2 o^{\prime}$ clock following worship services in the morning and people were invited. Anybody who was concerned and wanted to have information and some of the deacons and the elders of the church were there. I
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Why didn't any "scared" mothers testify for the DA?"

Sgt. Solomon Holley? Grand Juror Solomon Holley?
went.
I was a fairly new elder at the time and I just attended. I went just to hear things. And I distinctly remember more than one young mother asking the question, can the church guarantee the safety of my children if we bring them here to church and Sunday school because they had gotten these e-mails from Mr. Davis. We did take steps. We hired a private security guard to come to church on Sunday morning during worship wearing a uniform and having a marked patrol car.

We had a -- had a security survey done of the church. People came and walked the church with some of the deacons and advised us on various steps we could take to make the church more secure from that kind of -- that kind of activity. So that's what I recall particularly. But from time to time people have been upset an disturbed from some of the e-mails that they get from Mr. Davis.
Q. I understand. And to go from I guess late 20 -- it would have been early 2014 to late 2013; is that correct, Mr. Bryant, when that meeting was held?
A. That meeting was in February of 2013 as

I recall. This meeting was held after the Defendant informed Covenant Members about the on-going child sex abuse cover-up.

Was this the same period of time

Covenant Leaders conducted a 2-year "non-investigation" of Pastor Jim Bachmann? Is it possible to get a copy of the audio tapes and notes of the 168 hours of interview time with 7 pastors, 7 ruling elders, 1 inactive ruling elder, 1 deacon, and 1 member? 18 interviews were done in 13 days.
Q. Okay. And so from February 2013 up
until November of 2015, had those -- was the private security service still at the church every sound during the service?
A. I believe he was.
Q. Okay. And were the -- to your knowledge, the security recommendations made by the security consultant regarding doors and other things they recommended, were those protocols still being followed up to that point?
A. Yes, they were still in effect as far as I know.
Q. Was -- do any other person beside Austin

Davis if those security measures were taken to protect the church from to your knowledge?
A. No.
Q. Okay. And you now serve on the Session;
is that correct?
A. I do.
Q. And to your understanding or your
knowledge or church doctrine, the rules that govern
the Session, the deed to the corporation, all of the
legal documents that create a church and run the
church, does it is session have power to ban people
from the property? Clerk Troxel went "rogue" without Session or Member approval. See Covenant Board Minutes at the back of this link. Exculpatory info was provided to DA.
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Federal Judge John Bryant does not seem to believe a protected child-molester should be banned from church, but he does believe in the secret banning of Austin Davis and his family members. How many child-molesters are concealed in the Nashville Presbytery?

```
A. Yes.
Q. Have you ever known any one besides
Austin Davis to have been banned from church property?
A. No.
Q. I will ask you this: Are you aware of
                                    A. Yes.
                            Q. Have you ever known any one besides
                            Q. IN Your this: Are you awareof
                    any time where any pastors wore a bulletproof vest in
                    the pulpit?
A. I have heard that occurred, but I
cannot -- I had no personal knowledge of it.
                            Q. All right. Very good.
                            Mr. Bryant you mentioned this sort of in
passing, there is a school on the property; is that
correct?
            A. Yes.
                GENERAL HARRIS: Those are my
                    questions.
                                    THE COURT: All right. Questions,
Mr. Davis.
CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. DAVIS:
                            Q. Judge Bryant, so you are a federal
judge, or were?
                    A. Well, I'm officially retired, but I'm
```

working part time. In fact, I'm working tomorrow and Thursday this week for the Court, but yes, a magistrate judge.
Q. Your part time? Retired?
A. Well, I retired a year ago, but they call me back part time.
Q. Well, I hope you are enjoying your retirement.
A. Thank you, sir.
Q. So you came to Covenant in 2007?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And when did you say you and your wife started coming to the church?
A. We began attending in september.
Q. September of 2007?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And we joined the church in December of that year?
A. I was attending there at that time too, I wasn't a member, but I still came as a visitor like people are allowed to do.
Q. Do you -- so you are relatively new, you have no real history to the -- to what is going on in the church at that time at all coming in 2007?
A. That's my -- that's my first

Judge Dozler was friends with Judge Bryant at Woodmont Baptist?
acquaintance with Covenant Presbyterian Church.
Q. How -- how did you come -- how did you happen to come?
A. Well, as my -- I blame it on my wife. We were Baptist and had been attending Woodmont Baptist Church for many, many years. In fact, we married there and raised our children there. But my wife primarily became a little bit disenchanted with the church there and she was looking for another church. And we had friends at Covenant, so she visited there, liked what she heard and she took me there basically, and took me there basically in September of 2007.

THE COURT: Just so everyone knows this.

At some point our membership at Woodmont crossed.
THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. DAVIS: Woodmont Baptist?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. DAVIS: Yeah. I went to Woodmont

Baptist as a boy and Donny Sherman played ball with me growing up. His dad was the pastor there.

BY MR. DAVIS:
Q. And then you said you joined in December of 2007?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. But you weren't an elder or anything. When did you become an elder?
A. I was an -- I was elected to the Session in the summer of 2012. And on my first day on the job was, I guess, August 1, 2012.
Q. So that's four years after this letter was written in 2008 when you are actually becoming an elder?
A. Right.
Q. So would it be safe to say that you were pretty much in the dark about a whole lot of stuff that had gone on between 2002 and 2012?
A. I didn't know much about it at all until I became an officer of the church.
Q. So we might have come in contact or anything that you are saying and whatever being done, you would be encountering me deep into this?
A. Well, I don't know what you mean by that, but I realize somethings had occurred that you are concerned about before I was ever a member of the church.
Q. Okay. And you said that there was a - Burleson met with Judge John Bryant in 2014. Apparently, Judge Bryant did not believe Pastor Wade Burleson?
a security out there and that $I$ was the only person that they were there for or concerned about?
A. Well, I mean I think the security was therefore any need, but to my knowledge, you are the person that prompted that to occurred. I mean, clearly we wanted the church to be safe and continue wanting the church to be safe from all threats or harm or threats of harm. But to any knowledge it was primarily because of your e-mail campaign and what some viewed as the threatening tone of some of those e-mails.
Q. Well, I have a different perspective obviously of it. I would consider the protection of children I think, as being informational at times. But I guess that's where we just don't see it quite the same.

THE COURT: What is your question?

BY MR. DAVIS:
Q. You mentioned Sandy Hook, so are people up in Covenant taking precautions, are any normal people in there wearing guns or members or is there concealed weapons in there? Or is there anybody in the sunday school class that's got guns? I'm just

```
trying to --
```

THE COURT: You talking about now?

BY MR. DAVIS:
Q. Back then, any time. I'm just trying to get an idea of what all was going on in there because they probably reached the point where they got security an scared parents, so --

THE COURT: Asking you the details of the security plan that was changed in or around 2013? THE WITNESS: Well, to my knowledge -well, I don't know whether any of our members who are you know licensed to carry a conceal weapon have one on them or not, I don't ask those questions.

To my knowledge, the church didn't commission anybody or ask anybody to come armed other than perhaps the guard, security guards.

BY MR. DAVIS:
Q. But basically the church's position, the ruling elders' position at this point in time as you are getting into it is that they don't like the information and letters that I have provided to people? Defendant Davis is a true friend of the Church. A Federal Judge and Covenant Leaders who protected a "Mann Act" childmolester by usurping authority are not true friends.
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Federal Judge John Bryant miserably fails the final exam on a beaten, despised King. The King wasn't banning people, He was inviting them.

Members. visitors, and Covenant school families should check with Judge Bryant and Nashville Police before inviting anyone to the private church-school property. Check before you invite anyone to a school function, a wedding, a funeral, or a WNSL youth game. Your "guest" could be on the Elder-Police secret ban list.
A. Well, I think the sessions position is that you are no friend of the church and have not been a friend of the church for years and years and years and you have demonstrated that repeatedly by these many, many, many, e-mails you have sent to every body in the country and the three lawsuits that you have filed against the church.

So it's the position of the Session that given that, you should not be coming on the church property and that's what we are here about. We tried to get you not to come back. But that hasn't been what you wanted to do, so that's our position.
Q. Well, would -- you came in 2012, you
became an elder in August of 2012?
A. I became an elder in the summer of 2012, that's correct.
Q. Summer of 2012. Do you think that a church member has a right to invite somebody to church?
A. Under ordinary circumstances, unless that person has been banned from coming.
Q. And under oath you are saying I have been banned legally with full authority from you?
A. Well, there has been a letter sent to
you by the Session telling you that you aren't
Clerk Troxel went "rogue" without Session or Member approval. See Covenant Board Minutes at the back of this link. Exculpatory info was provided to DA.
welcome there and not to come. And if you did, the church would take legal action.
Q. And so the Session doesn't have to get the consent of the congregation to ban somebody?
A. Not for that, no, sir.
Q. Have you read chapter 9 of the deacon --
A. I have. And I have also read chapter 12 and I have also read I think it's chapter 25 of the Book of Church Order.
Q. Could you tell me specifically where that is that -- because you know, trespass is has got to be a real clear thing, that's why they post the trespass things at schools. They put signs up because they want people -- they don't want anybody to get trapped because we are all walking around out here, we don't want to all of the sudden be walking and find out that we are going to be cuffed and taken off because now we have gotten into no-mans-land and we don't know it.

So do you believe -- I mean, your PCA ruling elder and they do have a history of independent Pres and Memphis, broke away from second Pres, they have some history of not having any problem with elders and deacons getting up there and standing in the doors and blocking people from coming

In an ecclestastical "Game of Thrones," Covenant Leaders usurped a King's Authority.
in.
THE COURT: All right. I think your question was --

MR. DAVIS: Does he believe as the PCA --

THE COURT: He mentioned some specifics --

MR. DAVIS: Do you believe that the church --

THE COURT: Hang on just a second. He mentioned some specific chapters of that book.

MR. DAVIS: Of the PCA.
THE COURT: Are you wanting him to --
MR. DAVIS: Yeah, I would like him to tell me where it is, because we know that the Book of Church Order says that Christ is the king and head of the church and all power flows through him. So there is power flowing down. And I would like to know where in there gives that ruling elders that kind of property power? Because the lawsuit is going on now in the Episcopal Church and he --

THE COURT: Okay. Hang on. Let's stop right there with your question.

I think Mr, Bryant he --
MR. DAVIS: Where is the power and the

Was Judge Bryant's folder entered as DA evidence?

PCA Book of Church Order Chapter $12-5 \mathrm{c}$ must be in concert with Chapter 9-2.
authority coming from --
THE COURT: To your perspective and knowledge of that?

THE WITNESS: I've got -- I really on chapter 12 of the Book of Church Order. And I can read it. I can read you the provision if you want me to?

MR. DAVIS: Yeah. I would like to hear it.

THE WITNESS: Your Honor, may it please the Court, if I can get up an get my folder back there.

THE COURT: Sure. That's what he needs? Okay.

THE WITNESS: All right. I will try to speak up.

MR. DAVIS: Thank you. THE WITNESS: This is from the Book of Church Order which is the -- a book of church governs that published by the Presbyterian Church of America which is our denomination. And I'm reading now from chapter 12 -- this is chapter 12, paragraph 12-5. It says, the church session, which is the board of elders, is charged with maintaining the spiritual government of the church for which purpose it has

Fake Trespass Letter with no Session or Member approval. that replaced the 1992 By-Laws? Were these By-Laws approved before the Sept trial when Pastor David O'Dowd was at Covenant?
power. And there is a list of things and I'm referring to $C$, to approve actions of special imports affecting church property. This is what the Session did, it approved sending the letter telling Mr. Davis not -- please not come back to the church property.

There is another provision which Mr. Davis may be referring to, there are certain limitations on what the Session can do with respect to the property and those limits are: In buying, selling, mortgaging real property, such officers shall act solely under the authority of the corporation, granted in a duly constituted meeting of the corporation. The Session does not have the authority, without the vote of the congregation, to buy property for the church, to sell it or to mortgage it.

Also, we have -- our church has organized as a Tennessee not for profit corporation and we have bylaws. The bylaws deal with the Session and the activities of the Session. Let's see, I've got a copy of that here. This is article four of the corporate bylaws of our church, section 1 entitled management. And the first sentence says, except as other was may be provided by the act, the BCO or else ware in these bylaws, the business and affairs of the
$\qquad$

Surprise prosecution witness Judge Bryant's "folder" and By-Laws were not recorded as marked evidence and were never provided to the Defendant with DA Discovery prior to the trial.
corporation shall be managed by members of the Session which shall constitute the board of directors of the corporation for purposes of the act.

And this -- these bylaws also contain the same provision that I mentioned to you from the BCO a moment ago. And this is over in article five, entitled articles and agents. It does say that: In buying, selling and mortgaging real property, the trustees, who are offices of the church, shall act solely under the authority of the corporation granted in a duly constituted meeting of the members of corporation at the congregation. So if we were talking about buying, selling or mortgaging the church's property, that requires a vote of the congregation. But this is did not involve that, the Session had the authority to do it.

BY MR. DAVIS:
Q. So banning somebody, the Session can do that in secret?
A. Well, we do it in a meeting, we meet once a month whether it was done in secret or was it done --
Q. Without the members knowing, you can just go and do stuff and the members have no idea,
they don't talk?
A. Well, the members can come to session meetings if they wish. They are open unless the business is conducted in executive session and I have no evidence that the --
Q. One thing that is interesting about the fact that the Session has to prove it, okay, so I understand the Session is obviously the topic, you have to prove something.

If you read what chapter nine was, I would have been a deacon, I went through the training and went through stuff like you did. And in there it told us that we were stewards of the property, the congregations, the people's property, and not to be letting anybody be doing anything with the property without the people knowing what the heck was going on with it. So nobody would go mortgage the church property or take some loan out for themselves or whatever, we are stewards watching that.

And so if you read chapter nine, I would like you to if I can --

THE COURT: What is your -- you have a question about chapter nine?

```
MR. DAVIS: I will once I get the
``` respect the Diaconate very much. Does he think Deacons only fix the air conditioning?
chance to look at it because it is the one that -THE COURT: Well, that is argumentive there. What is your question? MR. DAVIS: I want to see it, look at it first.

THE COURT: All right. What is your question and then we will get it if you need specific --

MR. DAVIS: What's the question? THE COURT: Yes.

BY MR. DAVIS:
Q. Do the deacons have authority over the church property for the congregation to be stewards of the church property?
A. The deacons are largely responsible for the care and upkeep of the property. When the roof leaks, the deacons see to getting that fixed. And when the air conditioner goes out, the deacons are in charge of calling somebody to have that fixed. So yes, the deacons do -- and the deacons have what's called the property and grounds committee, and that's their responsibility generally to see to those kind of repairs and maintenance on the property.
Q. And who does that property belong to?
A. It belongs to the corporation, the church.
Q. And who is the corporation, the Session?
A. What's that?
Q. Who is the corporation?
A. The corporation is a separate legal entity itself.
Q. And who is that, why are you being evasive on this answer? Who is the --

Judge Bryant doesn't seem to respect the voting rights of 1000+ property owners very much? THE COURT: Nobody said he's being
evasive. What is your question?
He's wanting to know from your
perspective who is the corporation?
THE WITNESS: Well, the corporation is a legal entity in Tennessee. Just like General Motors is a corporation and it has share holders, but it is a separate corporate entity, General Motors is not the share -- the shareholders, they own the -they own the shares in the company, but General Motors is a legal entity in and of itself as a corporation, that's what corporations are and our church is the same way. It is a legal entity.

The church holds title to the property. The church is a not-for-profit corporation, has
members. And every member of our church is a member of the corporation. But the members are not the corporation and the corporation are not the members, they are separate. Does that make sense, in the
legal sense? Federal Judge John Bryant does
not want to admit that Covenant
Members must vote on important
property decisions like secretly
BY MR. DAVIS: banning members or visitors.
Q. I'm slow, so I'm having trouble
understanding what you're saying. You're telling me that the members are not the corporation?
A. That's correct. In a legal sense.
Q. Do they vote? I mean, do they vote?
A. They vote on some things, they do. They elect our pastor, they elect elders and deacons. And as we have read from the Book of Church Order if the church were to buy property or sell property or mortgage the property, the congregation votes on that as well.
Q. And did we see -- has he seen chapter nine yet that I just handed up?
A. I have it before me.
Q. Huh?
A. I have it before me.
Q. Can you read what it says the deacons responsibility is? It starts out with duty of

How can the deacons enforce a secret ban if they don't know about it, and if the \(\mathbf{1 0 0 0 +}\) property owners did not give their voting consent? of this link. Clerk Troxel did not receive either approval.
deacons, chapter nine --
A. This is 9-2. It is the duty of the deacons to minister to those who are in need, to the sick, to the friendless and to any who may be in distress, it is their duty also to develop the grace of liberality and the members of the church to devise effective methods of collecting the gifts of the people and to distribute these gifts among the objects to which they are contributed. They shall have the care of the property of the congregation, both real and personal and shall keep in proper repair the church edifice and other buildings belonging to the congregation.

In matters of special importance
effecting the property of the church, they cannot take final action without the approval of the Session and consent of the congregation. In the discharge of their duties, the deacons are under the supervision and authority of the Session.

In a church in which it is impossible for any reason to secure it -- in a church in which it is impossible any reason to secure deacons, the duties of the office shall devolve upon ruling elders. That is section 9-2.
Q. And that one line there says in matters
of on property decisions, it says that they cannot take final action without, going back to what you read a minute ago, the approval of the Session, the Session will have to prove it and the consent of the congregation?
Judge Bryant seems to think that

Chapter \(12-5 \mathrm{c}\) gives the Session sole unlimited authority over the congregation's property?

Chapter 12-5 c and Chapter 9-2 must work in concert together. Chapter \(12-5 \mathrm{c}\) does not override the authority delegated to \(1000+\) property owners in Chapter 9-2.
A. This paragraph is in the chapter describing the office of decon, not session, not elder. The deacons do have these responsibilities that I have read, but they are under the supervision of the Session. So this does not really speak to the authority of the Session. What I read you before is what describes the authority of the Session.
Q. Are you saying the Session can do whatever it wants to do and it has no submission to the people at all on property matters?
A. No. I have told you the Session cannot sell, buy or mortgage property of the church without a vote of the congregation. But beyond that, the Session can make decisions and approve matters involving the property.
Q. So you can -- you can do something, hire security, call the police, do whatever you want to do without having any -- any -- I don't mind you being able to call the police if you think there is something legitimate going on. If you are covering

The Defendant is still stunned that a Federal Judge thinks he can hijack a non-profit church property without any accountability to anyone?
up child sex abuse, I have a little bit of a problem with that.

THE COURT: What is your question?
Does the Session have the authority --
MR. DAVIS: I don't know how he is getting around that, I really don't. I don't know how he --

THE COURT: Okay. Well, we are not in the argument stage yet. Is your question: Does the Session have authority to call the police or hire security?

MR. DAVIS: No. I'm asking him where he gets his authority and --

THE COURT: He read you that earlier.
MR. DAVIS: That says it needs the consent of the congregation.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, he's answered that. What is your next question?

MR. DAVIS: Are members allowed to invite people to Covenant or to a PCA church without getting the approval of the ruling elders?

GENERAL HARRIS: Judge, I'm going to object to asked and answer ed again.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you understand

This is an easy "yes" answer but a dictatorial Federal Judge thinks it is "probably" ok.
the question? All right. Go ahead. He can answer it.

THE WITNESS: Members are invited -are welcome to invite friends and acquaintances to come to the church, if that is your question.

BY MR. DAVIS:
Q. Would a visitor be able to invite somebody to the church?
A. Probably so, sure ordinarily.
Q. Would they need to go up and have a meeting with elders and get in there and say, hey, I'm a visitor, I've been coming here. I don't belong to you, but I saw six people over at the -- the other day and they looked like they might need to go to church to go somewhere, and I invited them and they are coming, but I want to make sure that when they come they don't run into Dweeboe and everybody out in front, so I want to make sure before I invited them that I cleared with you ruling elders?
A. I'm sorry. I didn't understand that question.

THE COURT: He's saying does a visitor have to get the permission of the Session or elders
to invite another visitor?

THE WITNESS: No. A member or a
visitor could invite someone else to come to our Hischurch.
church. But not Rick Jacques, Catherine
Davis, or Daisy Davis?

BY MR. DAVIS:
Q. So when I was invited to a wedding in July of 2012 right as you were becoming an elder --

GENERAL HARRIS: Your Honor, I'm going to object to anything that the witness doesn't have personal knowledge of.

THE COURT: Okay. You are putting
information in your question that's not testimony. So what is your question?

BY MR. DAVIS:

\section*{Jacques}
Q. Okay. Did you oppose Rick Jox inviting him to come to Covenant to his daughter's wedding in July of 2012 when I came?
A. I was not aware that Rick Jox had invited you. I mean, at the time that anybody invited you, you should have known that you are not permitted to go because you have already been informed that by the letter from the Session. So the

The fake letter was from Scott Troxel without the approval of the Session or \(1000+\) voting members.
fact that Mr. Jox may have invited you or anybody else could have invited you, does not relieve you from the obligation with the letter from the Session.

MR. DAVIS: I'm sorry. I'm kind of slow at kind of going through this, Judge. Do I need to put that in because it's not a may, I did get invited and I did go. I just want to know if he --

THE COURT: He is just saying: If what you are saying is accurate, he's saying what he said, you still knew about the letter.

BY MR. DAVIS:
Q. Why with all of the danger and worry and trouble, why wouldn't they have called the police and dragged me out of the wedding?

GENERAL HARRIS: Object to speculation, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you know anything?

THE WITNESS: No, I didn't go to the
wedding. I was not familiar with this.
THE COURT: Okay. He doesn't know.
MR. DAVIS: Okay. You mentioned

Jake Wallace witnessed the assault to protect a "Mann Act" Federal crime cover-up. Covenant Property Manager Karl Hamilton video-taped the assault. Austin Davis has a copy of the video.
another incident where \(I\) went to church it was in October of 2012 and described how some people got around -- around me or there was a confrontation, you said that every time you came there was trouble. You are the one that talked about it. I didn't bring it up.

THE COURT: Okay. What is your question?

BY MR. DAVIS:
Q. Did you sit in board meetings that talked about that event when I came in October of 2012?
A. Yes.
Q. You did?
A. I don't have a distinct memory of it, but I mean, I have a vague memory of it.
Q. Did you see the police reports?
A. I don't recall seeing any.
Q. Did a lawyer come in and spend any time with the Session when that happened? This is pre-lawsuits being filed?
A. I don't recall that. It possibly could have happened, but I don't have any memory of that.
Q. Did the police come?
A. I don't think so.
Q. Have you seen the police reports since Why did the DA Prosecution Team not provide "pollice reports" to the jury as evidence? Were the Police reports exculpatory and favorable to the Defendant?
Q. Yeah.
A. I don't know if I have or not to tell you the truth. I have seen a lot of writing about this.
Q. Do you know -- do you know why I wasn't arrested in 2012 if the letter had been written to me in 2008?
A. I don't know that.
Q. Does that seem odd?
A. Well, I don't know what odd means, but I think you could have been and I didn't know why you didn't. I wasn't involved in that myself, so...
Q. Well, if you tell somebody in 2008 supposedly that they are banned and then in 2012 they come twice and you don't do anything, I mean, is that sending a mixed signal to the people or --
A. Not to me.
Q. Is it making it clear what trespass is?
A. Not to me.
Q. It isn't?

PCA Leaders are supposed to give a week's notice for a congregation meeting.

THE COURT: Okay. He's answered that. What is your next question?

BY MR. DAVIS:
Q. You mention a meeting in February of 2013 that you said you went to --
A. I believe it was February, yes, sir.
Q. Yeah. Do you know who -- what was said

If members were so upset, how come only 50-100 showed up? A Elder-Deacon meeting has 50100 people. Were Police at the meeting? Mrs. Lee Parks said police had made a statement: "There is nothing to these claims?"

22
Why did Covenant Elder, Dr. Lee Parks, take a 12 -month leave-of-absence on May 19, 2008? See May 19 \({ }^{\text {th }}\) Board Minutes. ?" in that meeting? I will be curious as to what they told people.
A. Well, I think that -- now, this is sort of -- a lot of this is my vague memory of it. But the purpose of the meeting was for information for people who were concerned. It was publicized I believe in the morning, announcement the worship service and anybody that wanted to come back was welcome to do so. The meeting was held upstairs in one of the Sunday school classrooms, room 224 was one of our larger classrooms. I would say there were probably between 50 to 100 people who came. Church members, I'm sure probably everybody, but there was concern about the safety of the church and particularly the children of the church.

Many of these people had received e-mails from you an they were alarmed. I think

Why didn't the DA subpoena "scared" mothers to testify?

7
again, it was probably in the context of the shooting at the school in Connecticut which was a couple of months earlier. Everybody was still very much aware of that. And I feel like that probably colored people's perception of what was going on. But there were young mothers there who said, can the church guarantee me that my children will be safe if \(I\) bring them here to worship with Mr. Davis and these e-mails.
Q. You guys concerned about child molesters?

Is Asst. DA Harris the biggest
ally to a "Mann Act" child sex
abuse cover-up a courtroom
has ever seen?

GENERAL HARRIS: Objection, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Do you have an answer to

THE WITNESS: Sure. We are always
concerned about the safety and welfare of the children at the church.

BY MR. DAVIS:
Q. Really? And that's --

THE COURT: Okay. That's argumentive,
Mr. Davis, what is your next question?

BY MR. DAVIS:
Q. What action has the Session taken to protect children from anybody other than me?
A. Well, we've taking a numberer of actions. I mean, people generally -- we have locked doors upon the recommendation of our security survey. We have things arranged where people check in. And we check the children in and out. And children who are brought to church and when they go into a room, the mother or the custodian of that child when they bring them, gets a little bracelet with a claim number on there. And that child will only be released to the person who has that bracelet when church is over.

And there are some other -- I mean, I'm not completely cognoscente to everything. But I know a number are of steps have been taken to make the church property as secure as we can make it generally.

MR. DAVIS: Thank you.
THE COURT: All right. Any redírect?
GENERAL HARRIS: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir.
Ladies and gentlemen, if you will step
down the hallway, we will take a short break.
(Jury exits the courtroom.)

THE COURT: All right. Get these back to Mr. Davis. Those are the documents that you had hand written notes on and these, the clerk can mark 3 and 4 that have been redacted.
(Exhibits Number 3 and 4 were marked.)

THE COURT: We will be back at \(3: 30\).
(Break was taken.)

THE COURT: All right. Let the jury step down. Who is the next witness?

GENERAL CHARLES: Officer James Smith, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. James Smith.
(Whereupon, the jury was present in the courtroom.)

THE COURT: All right. Officer, I
think you are the next witness, if you will raise your right-hand and be sworn.

\section*{SURPRISE WITNESS - NOT ON DA'S WITNESS LIST}

JAMES SMITH, was called as a witness, and after having first duly sworn, testified as follows:

\section*{DIRECT EXAMINATION BY GENERAL CHARLES:}
Q. Good afternoon, sir. Could you state and spell your full name please?
A. James Smith. J-A-M-E-S, S-M-I-T-H.
Q. And where do you currently work?
A. For Metro Nashville Police Department.
Q. All right. Were you working with the Metro Nashville Police Department back on October 25 th, of \(2015 ?\)
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And what unit of the police department were you working with on October the 25 th of 2015 ?
A. I was working with Midtown Hills Precinct patrol officer.
Q. Okay. Could you give the jury just a broad overview of what a patrol officer does?
A. Patrol officer basically answers all
calls for services. Anything from normal complaints from -- we get from 911 or 862-8600. Sometimes we do some proactive activities such as traffic stops, business checks, community contacts?
Q. On Sunday, October 25 th of 2015 , did you get a call to respond to the address of 33 Burton Hills Boulevard?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that here in Nashville?
A. Yes.
Q. And could you tell the jury what is located at 33 Burton Hills Boulevard?
A. It's the -- I believe it's the Covenant Presbyterian Church.
Q. Would that be the Covenant Presbyterian Church?
A. Presbyterian.
Q. All right. And is there also a school on that property, to your knowledge?
A. Not to my full knowledge, but it's a very large church, multiple buildings.
Q. Okay. And was another patrol officer with you on that date by the name of Officer John Daugherty?
A. John Daugherty, yeah.

Herb Kneeland was scheduled to testify but Federal Judge John Bryant was the surprise replacement witness. The physician letter for Herb Kneeland was not in the trial record.
Q. John Daugherty, okay. And when you arrived at the church, did a man greet you who was a member of the church by the name of Herb Kneeland?
A. Yes.
Q. And was Mr. Kneeland to your knowledge a member of the church and I believe an alderman of the church?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. And was Mr. Kneeland concerned
that Mr. Austin Davis was on church property?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And did you learn that Mr. Davis had been warned not to go on church property before

October 25st of 2015? fake, will Officer Smith and If the Scott Troxel letter is a
A. Yes, I did.

Asst. DA Harris arrest and prosecute Scott Troxel.
Q. And did you learn that the church had mailed a letter dated June 27th of 2008 to Mr. Davis telling him to stay off of the church property?
A. Yes.

GENERAL CHARLES: Your Honor, at this
time, if we could retrieve Exhibit 2 and show it to
Officer Smith. Fake Trespass Letter with no Session or Member approval. See Covenant Board Minutes at the back of this link. Exculpatory info was provided to DA.
Q. Officer Smith, that day that you responded to the church, were you shown a copy of that letter?
A. I was.
Q. And is that in fact the same letter that you have in front of you?
A. Yes.
Q. And were you present when that letter was shown to Mr. Davis?
A. Yes.
Q. Did Mr. Davis acknowledge that he had seen that letter before?
A. He did.
Q. Okay. And is that letter on official church letter head and is it signed by an individual by knowledge name of Scott Troxel?
A. It is.
Q. Did you speak that day with Mr. Davis about the letter?
A. I did.
Q. And again, did Mr. Davis admit to you that he had received that letter before October 25th of 2015?
A. Yes, he stated he had read it and understood it.

Austin Davis understood the letter was fake.
Q. On that date of October 25 th, was Mr . Davis given a verbal warning by police not to return to that church property?
A. Yes, it was given by me.
Q. And was that -- on that same day, was Mr. Davis given yet another verbal warning by the church by Mr. Kneeland not to return to church property?
A. Yes.
Q. And on that date did Mr. Davis appear to understand he was longer -- it was no longer acceptable for him to come on to the property and that any further actions he took to place himself on the property would be trespassing?
A. Yes, he complied with us.
Q. And Mr. Davis was not arrested that day, correct?
A. He was not.
Q. But he was told by several people not to return?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And he acknowledged receiving that letter?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Do you see Mr. Davis in the courtroom
today who was the man who was warned to stay off of the church property on October 25 th of 2015 , and if so, could you please point him out and describe what he's wearing?
A. Yes, I do. He is sitting there to my right, male white, glasses, dark sports coat.

GENERAL CHARLES: Okay. Your Honor, if we would let the record reflect that Officer Smith correctly identified Mr. Davis.

THE COURT: Okay.
general charles: If I could have a moment.

I don't have any further questions. Thank you, sir.

THE COURT: All right. Any questions, Mr. Davis?

\section*{CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. DAVIS:}
Q. Good afternoon, Officer Smith, how are you doing?
A. Good. How are you?
Q. All right. You -- you are not the one that came into the -- my memory may not be too great, but I don't think you are the person that came into

Why didn't Officer Tom West testify?
the sanctuary and came down to the front where I was sitting and got me out of my pew?
A. I was present. I was one, but not the actual officer that asked you to get up.
Q. Was -- was I doing anything bad that you could see?
A. No, sir.
Q. Was I just sitting in church quitely or peacefully doing what other people do which is worshiping God?
A. You were attending church service.
Q. Do you all do this a lot around town? I'm just curious is that something you've done before? Is that a common thing, a routine thing or is that something that is unusual?
A. In regards to do a lot of what?
Q. Going into the doors of a church while the service is going on and going down and getting a man, or woman, or child out of a seat and taking them out in front of the building?
A. What we do is -- if we respond to a call or an official or manager, supervisor, anybody of that property or business has stated that someone is causing a disturbance and they have asked them to be removed, we will assist that business property or business organization, school, anything like that to remove them.

Initially I remember us approaching you calmly, politely asking you to come out with us. At no point --
Q. Was there a disturbance?
A. We asked you to come with us to talk.
Q. I mean, did I -- did I resist or create a disturbance or anything?
A. No, sir.
Q. Was I creating a disturbance or anything or --
A. No, sir.
Q. Was a creating a disturbance prior to that?

The Asst. DA did not enter any police reports in to
A. Not to my knowledge. evidence?
Q. Do you know -- I don't know whether I have to introduce this to ask the question or not, this is the police report from that day -- do you know -- I -- do you know who in the media contacted the police?
A. No, sir. All we received was a -- we get a message on our computer which the call/text had stated something that the media had contacted us. I'm not sure through 911 or -- but I am pretty sure
it was through 862-8600 that there was a disturbance at the church and asked us to respond.
Q. So the call that went into the police wasn't even coming -- it was coming in from the media?

WHO with the MEDIA reported Austin Davis to Nashville Police?
A. I'm not sure where it was coming from,

Was it WSMV News Anchor Demetria Kalodimos? Why didn't Demetria Kalodimos testify or provide sworn testimony at the trial? See info at bottom of page.
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Why did WSMV gaslight
Austin Davis to protect a
"Mann Act" Federal crime cover-up?
that's all they announced their self was media.
Q. Did you see any media at the church?

Did you see any trucks or channel four news or channel five or were any of them there that day?
A. No, not to my knowledge.
Q. Any idea how they would be reporting me or why they would be or somebody in the media would be calling and reporting me or why they would be, or somebody in the media would be calling and reporting me as a disturbance?
A. I mean, media is a broad range, it could be a single reporter, not a whole news church. So I don't know if a church member attends there, I can't tell.
Q. But this was a phone call, so did you all interview that person?
A. No, sir.
Q. Would they be a good witness to have here to talk today? I mean, I would like to know who
Q. I know Demetria Kalodimos asked your husband to stop following her.

Does "letterhead" prove authentic property ownership? Is "letterhead" more important than a property deed, church board minutes, and by-laws? Why did the Asst.
Asst. DA keep exculpatory evidence from the jury?
that was.
A. I'm not sure.
Q. You don't know.

Do you remember at what point in time
this happened in was it the beginning of the service, the end of the service?
A. I can't recall. This happened 2015.
Q. Yeah. That makes sense. And when I went to the front, you and Herb Kneeland and some other people, I think Officer West, you all went to make some calls or do whatever y'all were doing? Y'all were a good ways away from me, I stood at the front with Officer Daugherty. What -- what would -why wasn't I just arrested and taken out? What was the -- what were you all calling about?
A. I believe we didn't -- I didn't make any calls. We had -- I was talking to Mr. Kneeland who was stating the situation again to -- about you being on the property and you were -- they stated to me that you were not supposed to be on the property. Another person went to go retrieve the letterhead showing -- that we end up showing you, which you state understood it and have read it. Anybody making phone calls, I don't know about that. We just separate ourselves to avoid any confrontation between

Why did Police say on the Oct \(25^{\text {th }}\) audio that the trespass waiver was expired-- when Covenant did not have a waiver?
you and a church official.
Q. Was there a trespass waiver with the police department?
A. Not on our record.
Q. So there is no posted trespass signed on the property, no waiver? Can he explain what a waiver is, what a trespass waiver is?

THE COURT: I don't -- is that your question?

MR. DAVIS: Yeah. I would like him to explain that. The jury may not understand it.

THE COURT: Do you know what that is?
THE WITNESS: Yeah. We -- the police
department has or gives out pieces of paper which is a documentation asking for a no trespass letter, basically the church or any business location can sign this document, get it notarized and turn it into the police department.

It goes into our records to show that basically we don't need an official or a manager, supervisor anything of that aspect to be actually be there to physically arrest somebody for trespassing.

Now, I know at that time Mr. Kneeland and other church officials did not want to prosecute

Judge Dozier did not allow the Oct 25, 2015, audio recording to be entered as a exhibit.

Asst. DA Harris and Charles know the child sex abuse is not a "myth," but the "malicious prosecution" is pushed hard, and the DA's office is still defending the "malicious prosecution" on
at that time, but stated they would further and future dates if you returned.

BY MR. DAVIS:
Q. Were you -- you were not with me very long, but I was with Officer Daugherty for a while, good while. And I actually have a recording of all of that if we can enter that in to -- Transcript accuracy?

THE COURT: What do you have questions of this officer?

MR. DAVIS: Well, I don't know -- I'm pro se, so I don't know what I'm doing, I'm just asking. So if \(I\) ask him a question, I don't know whether I can submit a recording into the court so the jury can listen to what was said when all of this happened.

THE COURT: I'm just wanting you to ask questions, whatever questions you have of Officer Smith. Judge Dozier did not allow the Oct 25, 2015, recording to be played for the jury.

BY MR. DAVIS:
Q. I reported a child sex abuse crime to the police that were there that day. While you were back there talking, did anybody tell you about that

Police apparently aren't concerned if a citizen points out possible corruption within the police department.
or anything?
A. I know you had stated something and I provided a number that would relate to that.
Q. Is that not an issue or concern with the police department? Is it not -- can not report it just to officers if I see one and tell them that I want to report a crime? Is it the duty of the citizen to keep doing it or does anybody actually get involved that's a cop?
A. That's a what?
Q. That's an actual cop, you know, that you give them the information. Do they actually go do anything or does it fall back on the citizen to keep driving it and he has to write 10,000 e-mails to everybody that everybody ignores?

THE COURT: I think he is asking: Can he report to you when you are there on another call a concern that he has about a -- some prior child sexual abuse?

THE WITNESS: Okay. Yes, you can report something, but my memory recalls that you stated you had already reported it. Which I provided the number to the detective, which would have handled that case or a detective that can recall that case.

BY MR. DAVIS:
Q. Yeah. I think I was saying that I didn't trust what had been done on that and was looking for other avenues hoping there had been somebody within the police department that might care about children?
A. I understand, but a detective is more specialized in those departments or investigations, where I am a patrol officer. I can initially respond to the initial call, take a report.
Q. Yeah. I mean I'm not -- I'm just asking if --

GENERAL CHARLES: Your Honor, at this point \(I\) would object, he's no longer asking questions. He is -- what is your next question?

THE COURT: Well, maybe -- what is your next question?

Transcript accuracy?

MR. DAVIS: Y'all at the DA's office --

THE COURT: Okay. Don't get into
discussions with the other parties, just what is your question of this officer?

BY MR. DAVIS:
Q. Did you go back there, did you all get on the phone and call the police station and ask if there was a trespass waiver?
A. Again, I did not call. I do have a radio which I can call dispatched who advised me that there was no trespass waiver at the time.
Q. Advised you that there was or wasn't?
A. There was not.
Q. So you came into church took me out. I stood? Front of the building for 30 minutes while a whole -- everybody in the world was around me and basically y'all came back and showed me a letter from 2008?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Have you looked at the police reports from 2012?
A. I was not with the police department then.
Q. What does it mean when it says unfounded on a police report?
A. To my knowledge it's -- there is no probable evidence or cause that would further push it to someone being arrested or --
Q. That's from the police department?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. So if the police department had come and talked to you in 2012 about trespass and said something is unfounded, are they making kind of a ruling at that point in time as police that there is nothing -- this guy is not trespassing?

If the 2008 trespass letter
was powerless in 2012,
where did it get power in THE COURT: You're asking him about -2015?

MR. DAVIS: I'm asking him what unfounded means because there --

THE COURT: No, you said 2012. He said he wasn't on the department then, so --

MR. DAVIS: I've asked him about unfounded.

THE COURT: He's answered that.
MR. DAVIS: Okay.
THE COURT: What is your next question?
MR. DAVIS: Well, I don't have the police officers here from 2012, so there is nobody here to ask on the stand.

THE COURT: Okay. Well he wouldn't --
MR. DAVIS: Well, this started in 2008, we've already discussed 2008.

THE COURT: Right. But he wouldn't know about what some police officer did in 2012.

Herb Kneeland was the only witness against Austin Davis before ex-Judge Casey Moreland (Worrick Robinson's long-time friend). Federal Judge John Bryant replaced Herb Kneeland as a witness for the jury trial. The medical letter was not in the Defendant's trial record at the courthouse?
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BY MR. DAVIS:
Q. Just to be clear, somebody can call you, you don't really know whether they have the authority or not, but they just call you and you are the police and so you just come up there and you can -- you were looking for authority in the sense that day, weren't you? Were you looking for it?
A. Well, we were approached by Mr. Herb Kneeland that stated he was an elder man or decon of the church.
Q. Have you ever had anybody lie the you? As a cop, have you ever had anybody up there in a nice suit or anything? Have they ever had anybody say, I'm king congress and I can do wherever I want to do and that guy is a bad guy, go arrest him, have you ever had anybody do that?
A. I mean, people lie to police all of the time.
Q. Is it possible that people lie and told you stuff that day and then you went back there and made phone calls and then found out that I couldn't be arrested?
A. What was the question?

THE COURT: He said did you find out
through whatever means that day that he, Mr. Davis, couldn't be arrested?

THE WITNESS: Couldn't be arrested? We responded to a call that you were -- it was a public disturbance. Basically we investigated by talking to you, which you had complied with us. I talked with Mr. Kneeland. I do believe the church pastor came out too, which also they presented us this letter. I presented it to you, you stated you read it, Defendant Austin Davis underunderstood it. stood the letter was a fake.
you're not there, is it based on that authority of your warning or is it based on the power coming from somewhere else? What is it in my -- was I arrested later on because you gave a warning and so that warning is final even though there wasn't a trespass waiver in there?
A. The warning came from the church officials who stated you were not to return. I gave you a warning which was to my knowledge that you were not allowed back on the property.
Q. So you are trusting that that is valid, that warning that they are giving you? You are not basing it on your power and your badge or anything, you are basing it on what they are telling you?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. You are just the -- kind of the executioner of whatever it is that's been done? I'm just trying to get that down because there is a line of authority here and I'm just making sure that the State isn't up at the church deciding who can come up there or not?
A. No, sir. Basically Mr. Kneeland, who stated he was an elder man as well as the pastor, I believe came up to us and said you are not allowed.
Q. But the letter you saw, you are not an
expert on the Presbyterian, the ownership of the property, so you see the letter and it's just -- it could have been a letter from Tom Smith who didn't even go to church their, if they still hand you that letter, you can look at it and go, Okay. I'm going to go warn Mr. Davis?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Even on this report, this is the one from 2015, it says unfounded, what does that mean? This is a couple of weeks before I got arrested, what does unfounded mean?
A. Which report is that? Is it the report I did?
Q. I don't know?

THE COURT: Which -- which incident are you talking about? Which report.

MR. DAVIS: I'm talking about the police report from --

THE COURT: From when?
MR. DAVIS: Do I need to hand this in? I've got it right here.

THE COURT: No, just from when? What date.

MR. DAVIS: It's October 25th, 2015.

Asst. DA Charles continued to protect the "malicious prosecution" even as a "Mann Act" Federal crime cover-up continued.

THE WITNESS: Okay. I know what you are talking about. To be found unfounded on that report because it came out, I put the offense as criminal trespass, you were not arrested, so therefore I cannot be open because you were -- an open case would mean it's an ongoing investigation. Unfounded basically since you were not guilty arrested, that it was unfounded. There was no probable cause arrest. If you were arrested, I would assume it would have been founded. The police report Austin

MR. DAVIS: Thank you. not unfounded.

\section*{REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY GENERAL CHARLES:}
Q. Officer Smith, the term unfounded does not mean that Mr. Davis was not trespassing on the property, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And on that day, he could have very well could have been arrested because he had been given notice not to be on the property, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And the reason he was not arrested is because the church was being gracious and nice and decided to just give a verbal warning; is that right?
A. Yes, ma'am. GENERAL CHARLES: Thank you, sir. THE COURT: All right. Any other questions, Mr. Davis, based on that series of questions?

RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. DAVIS:
Q. Is it possible to make a false arrest?
A. In this case?
Q. In any way?
A. It's possible. Anything is possible.
Q. I mean, is it possible to make a false arrest in this case? I mean, I'm in here. Is it possible that --

The DA's Office does not care if someone falsely accuses a citizen, convicts a citizen, and jails a citizen. The DA's Office will actually do the "dirty work" to obtain the conviction.
A. In this case, if the church wanted to prosecute, they would have prosecute, we would have arrested you.
Q. I understand that, and a jury will decide, but is it possible that I was arrested when I shouldn't have been?

THE COURT: You talking about? You are jumping into November?

MR. DAVIS: Yeah. Is it possible, could there be some bad, something --

THE COURT: I don't - were you involved in that?

THE WITNESS: I was not involved in that incident.

THE COURT: Okay. He doesn't know about November.

BY MR. DAVIS:
Q. Oh, you weren't there in November?
A. No, sir.
Q. Okay. As a policeman, is it possible to arrest somebody and then be wrong about it?
A. Anything is possible.

MR. DAVIS: Okay. Thank you.
THE COURT: Any other questions?

GENERAL CHARLES: No, sir.
THE COURT: All right. You can step
down an be excused.
All right. Who is the next witness?

GENERAL CHARLES: Officer John

Daugherty.

Were Office Daugherty and Grand Juror Solomon Holley in the secret grand jury room together? Does the secret grand jury meet at the DA's Office?

JOHN DAUGHERTY,
was called as a witness, and after having first duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY GENERAL CHARLES:
Q. Sir, can you state your full name and spell your first and last name?
A. John Daugherty. J-O-H-N,

D-A-U-G-H-E-R-T-Y.
Q. And Officer Daugherty, where do you work and how long have you worked there?
A. I work for the Metropolitan Nashville Police Department. I've worked there for about three years now.
Q. Back on October 25 th of 2015, were you working with the midtown patrol?
A. I was.
Q. And did you get a call to respond to 33 Burton Hills Boulevard on that date?
A. I did.
Q. And can you tell the jury what was located at 33 Burton Hills Boulevard?
A. We responded to a report of a trespasser at that location which is a church. I arrived on scene after a couple of other officers and they had
began talking to Mr . Davis and the investigation sort of went from there.
Q. Is there also a school on that property?
A. To my knowledge, yes.
Q. Okay. And did you meet with an individual from the church who was the official representative of the church that day, an Herb Kneeland?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And did you also immediate and speak with Mr. Davis that day?
A. I did.
Q. Okay. And did you learn that Mr. Davis had been warned before that date not to go on the church property?
A. I did.
Q. And did Mr. Davis acknowledge that he knew he was not supposed to be on the church Judge Dozier did not let the audio tape be played for property? the jury.
A. Yes. He told me I think that he had received this -- a letter advising that he wasn't welcome on the property.
Q. And that letter again was shown to

Mr. Davis that day; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And did Mr. Davis tell you that even though he had received the letter not to come to the church, that he came back to the church several times?
A. Yes. He told me he had been back to the location multiple times since he received that letter letting them know that he wasn't welcome.

Oct 25, 2015 Q. Was Mr. Davis acting strangely that day?
A. I would -- in my opinion, yes. My
entire -- almost my entire time dealing with him or talking to him, he had his hands raised and he kept telling me that -- we were just having a normal conversation and then once in a while he would tell me that, you know, he was afraid that I was going to shoot him or one of the other security guards was going to shoot him and that he was the only one without a gun and he was worried about it.
Q. Did Mr. Davis acknowledge that he knew that church members were afraid of him?
A. He kept -- one of statements -- yes, he

Austin Davis saw Sgt. Holley in a photo with an assault rifle. He suspected other church members were also armed with concealed weapons. At least one death threat had been sent to the Davis home, and many other threats had been received. The jury needed to hear the audio tape.
did. One of statements he made was that he wanted to make it seem like the church members didn't have to fear him. And the way he portrayed that was that they -- he knew that they feared him, he was just trying to make it where they weren't going to any Juror Solomon Holley know each other or do any off-duty security work together? more.
Q. On October 25st of 2015, was Mr. Davis given a verbal warning by police not to return to the property?

\section*{Do Nashville Police now own the Covenant Property?}
A. Yes.
Q. And on this date did Mr. Davis appear to understand the verbal warning and that he would in fact be arrested and charged trespassing if he ever went to the property again?
A. Yes, I specifically told him that. He said he understood and he left the property.
Q. Despite the letter he was present on October 25th of 2015, despite the verbal warning that you an officer Smith gave to him on that same date, did Mr . Davis return to the church on November 15th, of 2015?
A. He did.
Q. By this point had the church hired private security to watch for Mr. Davis? Grand Juror Solomon Holley?
A. I'm not -- I know that they had private security. I'm not aware -- I'm not sure when they hired them or if they hired extra. I know that they were put on notice -- the private security was put on notice because they were worried about Mr. Davis coming back. So they were told that if they saw him,

Did Sgt. Holley call the police on the Nov \(15^{\text {th }}\) arrest?

Was it a "panic" button or phone calls to the police? Why wouldn't the police let Austin Davis have a copy of the 911 call? Who called and what was said to police? Lots of calls to police but it was tough to get reports or recordings from police.

More lies and hysteria to protect a "Mann Act" Federal crime cover-up.

The 2 -year internal "non-investigation" of Pastor Jim Bachmann would end a week later on Nov. 22, 2015.
\[
<0
\]
that he was -- that he was believed to be a danger and they should call police immediately.
Q. And on that date of November 15th of 2015, did you again get called out to the church?
A. I did.
Q. And was this out of -- or was this from a call reporting Mr. Davis was at the church?
A. Well, it originally came out as a robbery hold up alarm where someone had -- one of the security members or within of the staff had pressed their panic buttons, which is classified as a robbery hold up for our purposes and our dispatch purposes. So we really didn't know what we were responding to until we arrived on scene.

And I think -- I believe right as we were pulling up on the scene we got on update that the same Mr. Davis was back and they were worried that something was about to happen violently or something of that nature.
Q. And on that date of November 15th, 2015, was Mr. Davis placed under arrest an charged with aggravated criminal trespassing?
A. He was.
Q. On November 15th of 2015, did Mr. Davis enter and remain on the church property where a
school was located?
A. Yes.
Q. Had Mr. Davis previously been born by the police and the church not to come to the property?
A. Yes.
Q. Had Mr. Davis, by his own admission, acknowledge that he knew he was scaring the church members? Judge Dozier did not let the audio tape be played for
A. Yes. the jury.
Q. Do you see Mr. Davis in the courtroom today, the man you arrested for trespassing on November 15 th of 2015 , and if so, could you point him out and describe what he is wearing?
A. I do. He is sitting at defense table wearing a sport coat and a light blue button down.

GENERAL CHARLES: If we could let the record reflect that Officer Daugherty correctly identified Mr. Davis.

THE COURT: Okay.
GENERAL CHARLES: If I could have one moment, please.

I don't have any further questions for you.

THE COURT: All right. Questions, Mr. Davis? CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. DAVIS:
Q. What day of the week was that?
A. Sunday.
Q. Sunday. What time of day was it?
A. I believe it was either -- it was either late morning, just during -- church service I know was going on.
Q. And to be clear, this is -- I'm talking about October 25 th right now, so --
A. Okay.
Q. There are two different times. So on October 25 th and you are saying it was around 11 o'clock or something? 11 --
A. I know it was later morning when church services were going on. I don't recall the exact time.
Q. Did you see any kind of disturbance that I caused?
A. I did not see at that time.
Q. Or I was alleged to have caused. Did you see or know if the school was operating and running on Sunday, the school, the private schools witness on the \(2^{\text {nd }}\) indictment If he did not testify?
there?
A. I'm not aware of whether it was or was not.
Q. Did you -- were you a witness at my first indictment?
A. I don't believe so. I don't -- I don't recall if I testified or not, I may have been court blocked.
Q. And when the DA went back and added the school and came back and got a second indictment against me, were you a witness at that one?
A. No.
Q. No. So you hadn't been a witness at either one of the indictments? Was Police Officer John Daughtery with Sgt. Solomon Holley in the secret grand jury when the Defendant was indicted a \(2^{\text {nd }}\) time?
THE COURT: By witness, are you talking about appearing at the grand jury?

MR. DAVIS: The grand jury, yeah. His name was on it, I'm just wondering.

THE WITNESS: We don't generally go to the grand jury to testify. It's usually done by officers presenting ours case or reading our reports, if I understand it correctly.

BY MR. DAVIS:
Q. Did anybody say anything that day on the 25 th when you came like we got to get over here and protect the kids at the private school or any of this stuff?
A. I only spoke with you from -- pretty much the entire interaction I was with you, so I didn't talk to any one else.
Q. Okay. You have a tough job, I appreciate it. I know it's tough, all of the jobs you all do. I just know it was an unusual day.

When they were making their phone calls and we were talking, you were telling me that you didn't think I needed to have my hands up?
A. That's correct.
Q. And I was expressing to you that I was a little concerned about some people up there and wanted to make sure that they knew I wasn't a threat to anybody?
A. I believe you told me you were concerned about me and the other -- the security officer who was with us because we were armed and you weren't.
Q. Well, I was -- yeah. I was concerned about the other people there that might be armed?

The trial was focused on aggravated criminal trespass but it should have been about a child sex abuse cover-up. Instead of focusing on a childmolester, the DA proceeded with a "malicious proprosecution" to protect a "Mann Act" Federal crime cover-up.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, what is your question?

MR. DAVIS: Well, I'm trying to get -he's -- he's asked -- I mean he's said I -- specific people were scared of me, or that I -- he's acknowledged that they are scared of me, I'm trying to get him to acknowledge that I was very scared of the people there and it took a lot of guts to go there.

THE WITNESS: I don't have any way of knowing what you were feeling or whether you were scared or not. Untrue. The Oct 25, 2015, audio tape recorded what Officer Daugherty was told.

BY MR. DAVIS:
Q. And I spent some time telling you about Mike Huckabee and the child molester cover up that had gone on there, correct?
A. That was one of the topics you covered, yes.
Q. Does it seem normal or odd that I'm in court and that nobody involved in the child sex abuse case is in court?
A. From what I understand, we are here on an aggravated trespass, so...
Q. Is it possible that people lie to police
and get police to do bad things but they made up? The police themselves may not be bad, but is it possible that someone with a lot of power, federal judge was able to get the police to go to dirty work for them?
A. Are you asking me if it's possible?
Q. Yeah, is it possible.
A. I'm mean, I'm sure it's possible.
Q. Without you necessarily even knowing what's going on?
A. It's possible. Extremely unlikely, yeah, absolutely.
Q. Do people who commit crimes sometimes cover them up?
A. Sure.
Q. Do they want to be found out? Are they trying to do whatever they can to get found out?
A. I'm sure some of them --
Q. Your run of the mill criminal that you chase down the street and he's got something in his pocket or he's done something, would he just walk up to you and say here, let me show you everything and give it to you or would he be trying to hide?

GENERAL CHARLES: Your Honor, at this
point I'm going to object to relevance. I'm not sure --

THE COURT: It's probably not, but it would be quicker to let him answer it.

THE WITNESS: The -- I'm sure people want to get a way with crimes they commit.

BY MR. DAVIS:
Q. You were there when they showed a letter to me from 2008?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you seen the letter?
A. I have.
Q. Have you seen the letter that I wrote that's already been entered into the Court? Have you seen the letter that was written two days before that letter?
A. No.

MR. DAVIS: Can we let him look at that Ietter?

THE COURT: Sure.

BY MR. DAVIS:
Q. As a police officer as you red that

Did Sgt. Holley call the police on the Nov \(15^{\text {th }}\) arrest?
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letter, is there anything about that letter that if somebody sent that to you or whatever that you would have some questions about?
A. As a police officer?
Q. Yeah or as a person or anything?
A. As a police officer it doesn't really involve me.
Q. You said that when they called you that it said that there had been a robbery, hold up at the church?
A. That's -- it's a classification process for panic alarms. It's how our dispatch classifies a -- there is a few different ways when you press a panic alarm that it can be classified. Usually when it comes from a business or an organization or something or a commercial building or something, it comes out as a robbery or hold up alarm. If it's a residential, like a house, it will come out as a -just a panic alarm.
Q. So if it's a trespassing and you're going to it, would your -- would you heightened energy in it be less than if you thought you were going to a robbery or a hold up?
A. It would -- depends on the situation.
Q. Well, usually if you go where somebody
is trespassing, you expect to have a gun battle or if you went to where you thought a robbery hold up was, would that be of concern to you?
A. Some of my -- to be honest with you, some of my worst calls have started off as trespass ares and have ended up with, you know, fights, chases, gunshots, things like that. So I don't -- as a police officer, I don't assume that anything is going to be worse or less.
Q. The robbery hold up at a pretty prominent Green Hills church sitting up on top of a hill close to Belle Meade and everything, that wouldn't make you a little worried that there might be something going on up there that might be bad?
A. I was worried. It was a matter of weeks after the previous run in we had up there on -- I guess that was October 15 th or --25 th, the first time you and I interacted.
Q. Okay was I peaceful both times?
A. Yes.
Q. And the first time, I'm not sure who walked me back to my car, was that you or do you remember?
A. I walked you back to your car.
Q. You walked me back to the car. And do
you remember me apologizing and saying I'm sorry you have to be brought up here but that this may be the only way that I have a chance of exposing what's going on?
A. I don't recall the exact words. I do believe you did apologize for us having to come out here. I don't remember how much further it went than that.
Q. The second time when you came in November and you were the one that hands cuffed me and --
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And did I resist you?
A. No.
Q. Or cuss you or have a problem? Was a very peaceful?
A. Yes.
Q. Was I armed either time?
A. No.
Q. Can you understand the first time why I might have been -- I'm just asking the question: Why I might have been some what apprehensive if I thought I had the right to go to church to worship God and that I was going to have to go through thugs or what ever to get there?
A. Are you referring to me as a thug or I'm not sure what --
Q. I'm referring to anybody, if there is a free space -- if we want to come to the Court house and we go in, we normally don't have to have our guard up and know we can walk-through the doors and go in, and we have a right to go in. And so if -- if that still holds true for church that you are able to walk-through the doors peacefully and not have people threaten you or do things to you, can you understand how there might be apprehension and fear on my part?
A. There was a lot of parts to that question. I don't know why you had any reason to fear any of the police officers there.
Q. Well, I feared everybody there. I've seen some people get shot and things have happened in the United States, I'm not necessarily expecting it, but it's happened pretty quickly.

THE COURT: All right. What is your question?

BY MR. DAVIS:
Q. Well, do innocent people sometimes get shot by police?
A. Possibly. I mean, I'm sure at some point there have been, sure.
Q. So when ever they are around and you got guns is it charged? Is there anything that could possibly happen like I remember when you all asked me to see some identification, I did that and I was very careful because I'm reaching down in my pocket. I'm just trying to get the jury to understand that once cops are there and things are around you, that people may think you are making a move or doing something wrong, especially if they think you are armed or dangerous?
A. Our --

THE COURT: Do you understand the question of --

THE WITNESS: I think I do.
MR. DAVIS: I think he does.

THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.
THE WITNESS: Our interaction, the reason we had a discussion over anything was because you stood outside the church doors and had your hands up for 30 minutes straight and I kept asking you why you thought that was necessary.

BY MR. DAVIS:
Q. If you had been told that someone had a gun and was set up in a car and that you were the target, would you not want anybody to shoot you if you were going anywhere, is that normal?
A. Can you rephrase that for me.
Q. If somebody came and said, I want to shoot Officer Daugherty, I've got a gun, I want to shoot him if he comes anywhere near what I'm doing and that word gets passed back to you, would that make you concerned about being shot?
A. I would be concerned of whoever is supposed to shoot me, yes.
Q. Or if they thrown your name around? That I'm a soot seen, I'm not armed, I don't have a gun, so I'm just saying that there is apprehension and fear and I'm just wanting the jury to understand that the church may have some, but there is some on the other side too. Which is -- can you understand why I might have had my hands up?
A. I don't understand why you had your hands up. And I think one of our -- another part of your discussion was why if you were so afraid of every one there, that you continued to come back. And so I didn't really --
Q. And that's when I think I told you there is a child sex abuse cover up going on up here and this is the only way that I may have peacefully to expose it?
A. Okay. I don't -- if you are asking me if I understand what your motives were there and your actions, I don't, and I told you that that day.
Q. Yeah. I mean, trespass and child sex abuse, the who things, which one to you as a police officer is more maybe important?
A. If you're going for which one is more serious, child sex abuse.
Q. Okay. In 20 -- November, I think November 15th, 2015, while I was being handcuffed there was some -- I don't remember who the other officer was, there is a video of all of it, but do you, do you know why my wife and daughter were blocked and not allowed to go in?
A. I don't. That would have -- that would have been up to the church deacons or whoever it was making the decisions.
Q. Did they report them or say that they are banned and that they can't going to church?
A. Not to me.
Q. So if people were blocking them or doing
things to them, is there anything wrong with that, or is that an acceptable practice in Nashville?
A. I don't know what the circumstances surrounding that were.
Q. So if I go down in front of the courthouse and start blocking people from coming in and tell them they can't come in and everything, would I be in trouble with the law or would I be allowed to do that?
A. I'm sure you would run into trouble at some point.

MR. DAVIS: Okay. Thank you.
THE COURT: All right. Any redirect?
GENERAL CHARLES: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir.
You can step down an be excused.
All right. Who is the next witness?
GENERAL HARRIS: State rests, Your
Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Are you -- members of the jury, are you okay? I know we just broke an hour ago, but are you okay with going another 30 minutes or so or --
(The jury indicated in the affirmative.)

The State of Tennessee rested their prosecution. Defendant's Witnesses and Transcript Volume II are not provided because The State of Tennessee did not provide "proof" to support their malicious prosecution. Scott Troxel lied in Court because he did not obtain Session approval for writing his "rogue" trespass letter, and he did not obtain the consent of \(1000+\) property owners. (See Board Minutes at the back of this link to confirm Scott Troxel's deceptive testimony, although deliberately lying in court to protect a "Mann Act" Federal crime child sex abuse cover-up does not seem to matter, even if you are a Federal Judge.) A new trial - mistrial motion will be heard on July 12, 2019, before The Honorable Cheryl Blackburn. The jury's verdict is below.
(Whereupon, the jury was present in the courtroom.)

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Faila, you are the foreperson.

MR. FAILA: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: And the jury has arrived at unanimous verdict?

MR. FAILA: We have.
THE COURT: All right. If you will stand, please, sir, and announce that verdict on behalf of the jury.

MR. FAILA: We the jury, find the defendant, Willie Austin Davis, count one, guilty of aggravated criminal trespassing.

THE COURT: All right. If you will find that document into the Court officer.

And ladies and gentlemen you have heard your -- the verdict announced here on behalf of you. By your for person, if that is your individual
verdict, if you would indicate so by racing your hand. Keep your hand -- just so I will know that that is a unanimous verdict.
(Whereupon all jurors raised hands in the affirmative.)

All right. Anything further from the State?

GENERAL HARRIS: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Anything further Mr. Davis?
MR. DAVIS: No, sir.
THE COURT: Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, I appreciate your service to the Court that you have provided in this case. You have been discharged from your case and your jury service this week. You can step down the hallway with the Court officer.
(Jury exits the courtroom.)

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Davis, you can remain standing there. That is that they have found you guilty of aggravated criminal trespass. That verdict will become the judgment of the Court.

We could, and I can hear from both sides. I mean this is -- as the parties know, not a felony, it's a misdemeanor there is not a requirement under the law that there be any presentence report done. We could go forward with a sentencing hearing, we could and I guess I will ask you Mr. Davis, do you want a week or so to just prepare for a sentencing hearing or would you rather go forward with it now or what?

At a sentencing hearing, the State -does the state know if you would have any proof to present?

GENERAL HARRIS: That's possible, Judge, I will have to --

THE COURT: I will switch to you and then I will talk more to Mr. Davis here in a moment. Are you of the opinion you would want to reset it for a sentencing hearing?

GENERAL HARRIS: It might be appropriate to do my due diligence to make sure what proof, if any we might want to present.

THE COURT: Okay. And here Mr. Davis is what would occurred next, after a sentencing hearing, that triggers any appellate, motion for new trial that has to be filed within 30 -days of the hearing and which you know we can talk about later
after -- whenever we have that sentencing hearing. But at a sentencing hearing the State can present witnesses, if they choose to about, and I'm not saying they would in this case, but just generally the law allows them to present proof about prior criminal history, prior -- anything that's relevant to what should occurred as a result of this A misdemeanor conviction you can call character witnesses. You can put on witnesses if you choose to in terms of what the Court should do in terms of probation, jail, all of those issues that would be resolved in a sentencing hearing.

So with that in mind, do you want to .is it -- do you have any preference say setting this off just a couple of weeks to give you and the state time to prepare for that sentencing hearing?

MR. DAVIS: That's fine.
THE COURT: Is \(9-28\) on okay day? That's a Thursday.

MR. DAVIS: That's fine.
THE COURT: And it would be at nine. Does that state work for the State?

GENERAL HARRIS: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: We will set it 9-28 for a sentencing hearing.

If you want .- do you, Mr. Davis, want the Court ... it's on-line, but we could quickly here print out the statute dealing with misdemeanor sentencing. Would you like a copy of that?

MR. DAVIS: Yeah, that would be fine. Uh-huh.

THE COURT: If you will hang tight we will get you that and then we will reset this for 9-28.

All right we will be in recess. * * *

I the undersigned, Shana Crawford, official court reporter for the 20th Judicial District of the state of Tennessee, do hereby certify the foregoing is a true accurate and complete transcript to the best of my knowledge and ability of the proceedings had and evidence introduced in the captioned cause.

I further certify that I am neither attorney for, nor related to the parties to this cause and furthermore that I am not a relative of any attorney or counsel of the parties hereto or financially interested in the action.

Shana Crawford, LCR
Official Court Reporter

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF THE COURT}

This transcript of proceedings is tendered to the judgment of the Court, which transcript of proceedings is filed within the time allowed by law and rules of the court, and which is signed and sealed and ordered to be made part of the record in this cause.

This \(\qquad\) day of \(\qquad\) 20 \(\qquad\) .
\(\qquad\)
JUDGE APPROVED :
\(\qquad\)
ATTORNEY FOR THE STATE.

ATTORNEY FOR THE DEFENDANT.

\title{
SESSION MEETING MINUTES
}

Covenant Presbyterian Church
33 Burton Hills Boulevard
Nashville, Tennessee 37215

Austin Davis provided the DA the exculpatory Board Minutes but the DA continued with the
"malicious prosecution."

ELDERS PRESENT
Wade Hyatt
Petrie Mitchell
John Avery
Ed Jecnigan
Jim Spana
John Gilbert

ELDERS ABSENT
Herb Kaecland-Excused
John Hollis
Tom Cox
Jake Wallace
Wade McGregor

\section*{DEACONS PRESENT}

Gary Dean
GUESTS PRESENT
Lalli Barney-Children's Miniscry Coordinator
Moderator: Pastor. Jim Bachmann
Associate Pastor: Larry Ferris -
Dewey Thomas
Phil Schulz
Don Bull
Mike Bishop
Scott Troxel

Did Scott Troxel and Federal Judge John Bryant commit perjury for their PCA brethren to convict and jail Austin Davis?

Visitor and New Members Director Rick Arendale
Associate Pastor: Jack Foster Associate Pastor: Roy Carter Senior High Youth Pastor: I Hager
1. Quoram present and meeting called to oxder. Meeting opened with devotion and prayes.
II. M/S/P Approsed the Session meeting minutes of February 18, 2008 and correspondence.
A. \(\quad \mathrm{M} / \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{P}\) Approved transfer of Crawford Stevencr to Providence PCA, Dallas, TX
B. \(\quad \mathrm{M} / \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{P}\) Approved transfer of Derelk \& Tiffany Holland to Covenant PCA , Chattanooga, IN
C. M/S/P Approved transfer of Tally \& Anna Clower to First Presbyterian EPC, Rome, GA

\section*{III. Staff Reports}

Lalli Barney-a written Childeen's Ministry Report was provided to the Session. Informed Session the Jennifer Shands would be leaving the staff in June because of a job change for John.

Jack Fortex-Led a discussion among the Session conceming small groups. Discussed structuring small-groups based out of Sunday School classes.

Roy Carter-Discussed upcoming Men's Retreat at Montgornery Belt State Park.
Phil Roach-Servo (Service Projects) and D-groups are being well attended and providing great ministry for middle schoolers.

J Hager-Gave report on Senior High summer mission trip to Reynosa, Mexico, Reformed Youth Movement Retreat and the Bridgemont trip all coming up this summer.

Rick Arendale-Thanks to elders for their help in contacting recent new members for assimilation purposes.
IV. Old Business
A. \(\quad \mathrm{M} / \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{P}-\) New Worship Service Times will be initiated on the first Sunday of June.

The Services will be as follows:
First Service: 8:15-9:25
Sunday School: 9:40-10:25
Second Service: 10:50-12:00
IV. Old Business, cont.
B. \(\quad \mathrm{M} / \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{P}\) —New Worship Service Times will be initiated on the first Sunday of June.

The Services will be as follows:
Firsc Service: \(8: 15=9: 25\)
Sunday School: 9:40-10:25
Second Service: 10:50-12:00
This service schedule will be evaluated in August to consider its extension or discontinuation.
C. \(M / S / P-N e w\) pew Bibles to be punchased for the new sanctuary will be English Standard Version.
V. New Business
A. Gary Dean presented the proposed bodget for the 2008-2009 fiscal year-

M/S/R-Approved a \(\$ 4.26\) Million budget
\(\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{P}\) - Budget to be amended with the addition of \(\$ 50,000\) for Building and Grounds for the Grading and Installation on the Harding side of the church property.
B. \(\quad M / S / \mathrm{p}\) - The following member candidates:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Heather Chipps & James Cong \\
David and Madeleine Dunn & Romney Dickinson \\
Roe and Sandy Frazier & Jand Natalie Hager \\
Robert and Patty Hooker & J. Ryan Hampreys \\
Chris Johaston & George and April Khoury \\
Della Kramedjian & J.B. and Mary Jogce Lyach \\
Mike and Stacy MeCormick & Amy Milburn \\
Will Milburn & Will and Michelle Nowell \\
Walter and Nancy Schulez & Megan Tomashewski \\
Heather Tuohy & Melissa Windhan \\
Greg and Meredith Zimlich & Andrew and Lauren Pittard
\end{tabular}
C. \(\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{P}\) —Approval of Graham Adams/Ken Bums Wedding on May 17, 2008.
D. \(\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{p}\) —Bid the grading and leveling of a lawn area at the bottom of the Harding side of the church property. If the cost exceeds \(\$ 50,000\), Covenant School will be asked to participate in the cost. Ted Potter will oversee this process.
E. \(\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{P}\) —Designate gifts from members to be directed for the purchase of software for Yvette Kasparian.

Next Mecting-Monday, April 21, 2008

S. James Bachmgan, Jr. Senior Pastor

A PROTECTED CHILD-MOLESTER WENT
TO PCS IN ARIZONA FOR SEX ADDICTION
TREATMENT IN APRIL 2008. A "MANN ACT"
FEDERAL CRIME COVER-UP WAS IN
PROGRESS AND IS STILL ON-GOING IN 2019.

SESSION MEETING MINUTES
Covenant Pxesbyterian Church
33 Burton Fills Boulevard
Nashville, Tennessee 37215
A called meeting of the Session was held Wednesday, April 2nd, \(2008,7: 30 \mathrm{PM}\), at the church.

ELDERS PRESENT
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Iohn Avery & Scott Troxel \\
Elezb Krecland & Eee Parks \\
Phil Schulz & Dewey Thormas \\
Don Bull &
\end{tabular}

ELDERS ABSENT
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Phil Schulz & Wade McGregor \\
Mike Bishop & Wade Hyart \\
Tom Cox & Jim Spann \\
Ed Jenigan &
\end{tabular} Tom Cox Jim Spann Ed Jenigan

Associate Pastor: Jack Foster

Moderator: Pastor: Jim Bachmann
Associate Pastor: Larry Feris

Associate Pastor: Roy Carter
1. Meerting opened with prayer.
II. M/S/P To hire Pastor W/ayne Herring as a part-time assistant pastor to serve as Director of Senior Mimistries \(\$ 60,000\) annual salary (no benefits ro be provided other than assisting with the moving expenses) or \(\$ 45,000\) for the 2008 fiscal year. Employment would begin July 1, 2008. Job description attached.
\[
\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{P}-\text { to adjourn }
\]

S. James Bachmann, Jr. Senior Pastor

Did Scott Troxel and Federal Judge
John Bryant commit perjury for their PCA brethren to convict and jail Austin Davis?

> Austin Davis provided the DA the exculpatory Board Minutes but the DA continued with the "malicious prosecution."

\section*{A PROTECTED CHILD-MOLESTER WENT TO PCS IN ARIZONA FOR SEX ADDICTION TREATMENT IN APRIL 2008. A "MANN ACT" FEDERAL CRIME COVER-UP WAS IN PROGRESS AND IS STILL. ON-GOING IN 2019.}

\title{
CONGREGATIONAL MEETING MINUTES
}

Coveaart Presbyterian Church
33 Burton Finlls Boulevard
Nashville, Temessee 37215
A Congregational Meeting for Covenant Mresbyterian Church was held Apri 6, 2008, 9:30 AM, at the church.
I. Opening prayer by Pastor Jim Bachmann
II. \(M / \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{P}\) Scott Troxel aomanated as Clets
III. Quorwon established..
IV. Nominees introduced ana ballots distribused and collected.

NEW ELDERS AND DEACONS ELECTED IN CONGREGATIONAL MEETING.

\section*{IV. M/S/P To Adjourn.}

Meeting closed in prayer by Pastor Roy Caxter


Did Scott Troxel and Federal Judge John Bryant commit perjury for their PCA brethren to convict and jail Austin Davis?

Jim Bachmann Moderator of the Session

Austin Davis provided the DA the exculpatory Board Minutes but the DA continued with the "malicious prosecution."

> A PROTECTED CHILD-MOLESTER WENT TO PCS IN ARIZONA FOR SEX ADDICTION TREATMENT IN APRIL 2008. A "MANN ACT" FEDERAL CRIME COVER-UP WAS IN PROGRESS AND IS STILL ON-GOING IN 2019.

A stated meeting of the Session was held Monday, April 21, 2008, 6:30 PM, at the church.

ELDERS PRESENT
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Mike Bishop & Jody Elder \\
Don Bull & Joe Butler \\
Phil Schuls & David Funsucker \\
Ron Kimery & John Avery \\
Herb Kneeland & Daie Lewelling \\
Pug Scoville & Wade McGregor \\
Dewey Thomas & Joe Eades \\
Jack Flemdon &
\end{tabular}

GUESTS PRESENT
Liz Griffin-Women's Minisery Coordinator
Moderator: Pastor: Jim Bachmann
Associate Pastor: Larry Ferris
Associate Pastor: Roy Caxter

ELDERS ABSENT
Brad Soiuthern-Excused
Lee Parks
Tom Cox
Wade Hyatt Austin Davis provided the DA the Petrie Mitchell John Gilbert John Hollis Jim Spann
exculpatory Board Minutes but the DA continued with the "malicious prosecution."
I. Quorum present and meeting called to order. Meeting opened with deyotion and prayer.
II. M/S/P Approved the Session meeting minutes of March 24, 2008 and correspondence.
A. Received letter from Tom Cummings, Jt, and followed with prayer.
B. \(\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{P}\) Approved transfer of Chris and Heather Devaney membership to Lookout Mountain Presbyterian PCA, Chattanooga, TN
III. WIC Reports

Liz Griffin-Provided the list of new officers for the Women in the Church Council and sub-committee
Chairwomen
An overview of the vision for this year, the study topics and also the needs present in the Council was provided.
IV. Oid Business
A. Determination of C-Groups
\(\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{P}\) —During the summer months, there will be three Wednesday night events along with a form of C-group structure and form a commitee to study the short-tem and long-term need, strategy and stuycture.
The committee will consist of: Pug Scoville, Scott Trozel (convener), Herb Kneeland and Jack Foster as the Pastoral Staff representative.
V. New Business
A. M/S/P Subscribe for 20 copies of "By Faith" magazine and provide for members/attenders in the lobby.
B. \(\quad \mathrm{M} / \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{A}\) tree will be planted with a plaque noting the species of the tree and the Class that if donating the tree.

\section*{VLStaff Reports}

Larry Ferris-M/S/D—Church sponsored missions trips are allowed to solicit designated gifts for these trips. \(\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{P}\)-Ray Hales to be added to the World Missions Committee.

Koy Carter-Gave a report on the Men's Retreat. An excellent number present with good outcome.
Rick Arendale-Strong numbers attending the Inquirers Classes with larger than normal spring classes. Encouraged elders to contact members they have interviewed in the past.

Jack Fostex-Every Thursday, five to seven members of the choir visit shut-in members of the church. Stephen Ministry-trained members ready to be sent to people with needs. Jack's Sunday School is seeing a full class every Sunday made up with many new members.

Jim Bachmann-St. Olaf choir would like to conduct a concert in our sanctuary Saturday, January 31st 2009. Tickets would be sold for this concert. The choir would be xesponsible for housing. Concern was expressed as to whether the sanctuary would be complete.

The Associate Pastor search committee to fill Stuart Latimer's position is recommending that Matthew T. Bradley be issued a call.

The Session will Reconvene for a called meeting for the purpose of voting on this decision Wednesday, April 23rd at 7:30 PM.
VII. Adjoumment

S. James Bachmann, Jr.
Scnior Pastor

A PROTECTED CHILD-MOLESTER WENT TO PCS IN ARIZONA FOR SEX ADDICTION TREATMENT IN APRIL 2008. A "MANN ACT" FEDERAL CRIME COVER-UP WAS IN PROGRESS AND IS STILL ON-GOING IN 2019.

SESSION MEETING MINUTES Covenant Presbyterian Church 33 Burton Hills Boulevard

Nashville. TN 37215

Austin Davis provided the DA the exculpatory Board Minutes but the DA continued with the "malicious prosecution."

A called meeting of the Session was held Wednesday, April 23, 2008, 7:30 PM, at the church for the purpose of calling a new Assistant Pastor and adding a member to the Home Missions Committee.
\begin{tabular}{l} 
Elders Present \\
\hline John Avery \\
Don Bull \\
Tom Cox \\
Joe Eades \\
Jody Elder \\
Jack Herndon
\end{tabular}

David Hunsucker Wade Hyatt
Ron Kimery
Dale Lewelling
Wade McGregor
Lee Parks

Elders Absent Joe Butler Herb Kneeland Soctt Trowel Jack Wallace

\section*{A PROTECTED CHILD-MOLESTER WENT TO PCS IN ARIZONA FOR SEX ADDICTION TREATMENT IN APRIL 2008. A "MANN ACT" FEDERAL CRIME COVERUP WAS IN PROGRESS AND IS STILL ON-GOING IN 2019.}
I. The meeting opened with prayer.
II. Bill Nutter presented the background on the search for an Assistant Pastor. The committee was charged with identifying a candidate to minister to young adults and married with children. Requirements included a candidate with an academic bent and a heart for pastoring. Ninety resumes were received and five interviews conducted from the pool.

Christie Hart shared her experience in the process and her thoughts on the candidate unanimously recommended by the committee.

The following motion was made and seconded, followed by discussion and subsequent vote.

M/S/P - Accept the recommendation of the Search Committee to call Matthew Bradley as Assistant Pastor.

The Session expressed gratitude to the committee for its work in the search.
III. M/S/P - Accept the recommendation of the Home Missions Committee to add Ben Sensing as a member to the committee.
IV. The meeting was closed in prayer.


\footnotetext{
S. James Bachmann, Jr.

Senior Pastor
}

Did Scott Troxel and Federal Judge John Bryant commit perjury for their PCA brethren to convict and jail
Austin Davis?

SESSION MEETING MINUTES Covenant Presbyterian Church 33 Burton Hills Boulevard
Nashville, TN 37215

Austin Davis provided the DA the exculpatory Board Minutes but the DA continued with the "malicious prosecution."

A called meeting of the Session was held Wednesday, April 30 2008, 7:10 PM, at the church for the purpose of ordaining new church officers.


Guests: Members of congregation present at Wednesday night event.
Moderator: Pastor Larry Ferris
Associate Pastor: Roy Carter
Associate Pastor: Jack Foster
Visitor and New Member Director: Rick Arendale
I. The meeting followed a Bible study which had been opened in prayer.
II. Pastor Ferris explained the process and then administered the ordination vows to Jack Wallace (Elder) and Clay Richards (Deacon). Hands were laid on Jack and Clay and a prayer was offered by Pastor Carter.
III. The meeting was closed with the singing of a doxology.


Did Scott Troxel and Federal Judge John Bryant commit perjury for their PCA brethren to convict and jail Austin Davis?

\section*{Larry Ferris \\ Associate Pastor}

A PROTECTED CHILD-MOLESTER WENT TO PCS IN ARIZONA FOR SEX ADDICTION
TREATMENT IN APRIL 2008. A "MANN ACT" FEDERAL CRIME COVER-UP WAS IN PROGRESS AND IS STILL ONGOING IN 2019.

\section*{Called Meeting - Covenant Presbyterian Church Session May 7, 2008}

A special called Session meeting was held on May 5,2008 to consider the following three items of business:
1. Report of interviews of Catechism class students.
2. Report and recommendation from Music Minister search committee.
3. Administrative/Personnel matter relating to the call of Matt Bradley.

Elders present: Jim Bachmann, Roy Carter, Jack Foster, Larry Ferris, Phil Roach, Jack Wallace, David Hunsaker, Pug Scoville, Phil Schultz, Brad Southern, Don Bull, Wade Hyatt, Jack Herndon, Jody Elder, Joe Butler, John Avery, Tom Cox, Ron Kimery.

Representing Music Minister search committee: Frank Benton, Prudy Nichol
Also in attendance were several parents of the young people interviewed.
Meeting opened with prayer.
In the absence of the Clerk, Jack Herndon was appointed acting clerk
1. Elders reported results of their interviews with the following:

Jack Waldrup
Mark McDonald
Grace Woolery
Margaret Tulloch

Did Scott Troxel and Federal Judge John Bryant commit perjury for their PCA brethren to convict and jail Austin Davis?

\section*{Franklin}

M/S/P that they be welcomed as communing members of the church and make their public profession of faith on Sunday, May \(11^{\text {th }}\).
2. Frank Benton and Prudy Nichol from the Minister of Music search committee gave a report on the committee's activities and work since they began in October 2006. Based upon their search, the committee recommended that we call Paul Randall Maygar as our Minister of Music with salary and benefits to be coordinated with the personnel committee. MUS/P that we call Paul Maygar as our Music Minister.
3. The Personnel Cornmittee asked the Session to consider a minor change to Matt Bradley's call to address transitional health insurance coverage. M/S/P that the church pay the cost for the appropriate transitional health coverage for Matt Bradley.

There being no further business relative to the purpose of the called meeting, the meeting was adjourned with prayer.

Acting Clerk,
Jack Herndon

Austin Davis provided the DA the exculpatory Board Minutes but the DA continued with the "malicious prosecution."

SESSION MEETING MINUTES
Covenant Presbyterian Church 33 Burton Hills Boulevard

Nashville. TN 37215

Austin Davis provided the DA the exculpatory Board Minutes but the DA continued with the "malicious prosecution."

A stated meeting of the Session was held on Monday, May 19, 2008, 6:30 PM, at the church.

Elders Present
Lee Parks
Brad Southern
Pug Scoville
Ron Kimery
David Hunsucker

Joe Butler Dewey Thomas Don Bull Joe Eadas John Avery

Wade Hyatt Jack Herndon Phil Schulz Dale Lewelling Herb Kneeland

\section*{Elders Absent}

Tom Cox Scott Troxell Wade McGregor Jack Wallace

Guest: Lalli Barney, Children's Ministry Coordinator
Moderator: Pastor Jim Bachmann
Associate Pastor: Larry Ferris
Associate Pastor: Jack Foster
1. Quorum present and meeting called to order. Meeting opened with a devotion and prayer.
II. Approved the minutes of March 24, April 2, April 21, April 23, and April 30.
III. Lalli Barney gave an overview of the June 9-13 Vacation Bible School.
IV. MIS/P - Removed from the church membership roll: Lee \& Lindsay Ballew, Romney Dickinson, Richmond \& Sarah Ross, and Tom Cummings, Jr.
V. MIS/P - Transferred Patrick \& Amy O'Mara to Lawndale PCA, Tupelo, MS; David \& Kelly Richter to Trinity PCA, Rochester, MN
VI. M/SIP - Approved Lee Parks' request for a 12 month leave of absence from active Session.

VII Pastor Larry Ferris reported on the summer Wednesday night programs:
May 14 - Calvin and Hogs
June 29 - Patriotic Music Program by the choir and a meal
July - Picnic in Warner Park
August - Catiish and Calvinism
VIII. Tom Ashcraft's Retirement;

MISIP - Tom will be given the title of Music Director Emeritus.
MISIP - During the month of June, a letter will be sent to the congregation asking for voluntary gifts for Tom's retirement gift.

June 22: The pastors will announce Tom's retirement and recognize his service to Covenant.
June 29: After the music program, Tom will be honored for his service and leadership.

M/S/P - Tom Ashcraft will be paid his base salary and annuity contribution from July 1 to December 31, 2008. He will be responsible for obtaining his own
medical Insurarice eifther through Medicare or Cobra. John Avery will confirm this by a legal review.

Pastor Jack Foster discussed the summer C groups and said there are 7 groups formed.

Joe Eades reported on a divorced church family that may involve a possible discipline issue.

Pastor Jim reported \(30+\) new members joining the church June 8 .
Next Session meeting July 21, 2008.
Meeting closed with prayer.

Herbert D. Kneeland, III Eider

Did Scott Troxel and Federal Judge John Bryant commit perjury for their PCA brethren to convict and jail Austin Davis?
S. James Bachmann. Jr. Senior Pastor

\author{
A PROTECTED CHILD-MOLESTER WENT \\ TO PCS IN ARIZONA FOR SEX ADDICTION \\ TREATMENT IN APRIL 2008. A "MANN ACT" \\ FEDERAL CRIME COVER-UP WAS IN \\ PROGRESS AND IS STILL ON-GOING IN 2019.
}

Dear Member of the Covenant Commonwealth:

After six long years, I have prayerfully and reluctantly provided you (and other members of the Commonwealth) very serious and true information regarding events which occurred in the Lord's House.

In recent weeks, some have characterized my truthful words and factual information as "exaggerated" and "divisive" against the "unity and peace" of the Church.

For the public record, I stand by my true testimony and the on-going assault against the Christian character of myself, my wife and my mother-in-law.

As members of the vulnerable commonwealth, you have a right to know if the men in the pulpit can be trusted.

If the facts and evidence supporting my letters are uprightly determined to be untrue by the leadership of Covenant, I call for Pastor Jim Bachmann to publicly declare the letters to be a lie to safeguard the Lord's Commonwealth which he has vowed to shepherd and protect.

If the facts and evidence supporting my letters are uprightly determined to be true by the leadership of Covenant, I call for immediate public repentance, restitution, and reconciliation to the glory of Christ and His Church.

This next Sunday would be an appropriate time for six years of lies and slander to come to an end.
I continue my prayers for God's grace that men will be led to repentance for the good of their eternal souls.

Respectfully, Austin Davis learned from two witnesses


Austin Davis
about the child-molester in 2012-2013. Four years earlier in April 2008, the protected child-molester went to PCS in Arizona for "sex addiction" treatment without a report being made to police.
A "Mann Act" Federal crime cover-up was In progress when June \(25^{\text {th }}\) letter was written, and is still in progress in 2019.

ON MAY 19, 2008, THE COVENANT SESSION MINUTES STATED: NEXT SESSION MEETING JULY 21, 2008.

THERE WAS NO COVENANT BOARD MEETING IN JUNE 2008.

ON JUNE 27, 2008, SCOTT TROXEL WROTE AND MAILED A "TRESPASS" LETTER SECRETLY BANNING AUSTIN DAVIS WITHOUT THE COVENANT SESSION APPROVAL, AND WITHOUT THE VOTING CONSENT OF THE CONGREGATION (1000+ MEMBERS).

ON JULY 14, 2008, COVENANT DEACONS AND ELDERS WERE PROVIDED A SECURITY BRIEFING WITH A NASHVILLE POLICE OFFICER PRESENT FOR THE COVENANT SESSION MEETING.

> SCOTT TROXEL TESTIFIED HE WAS AUTHORIZED TO SEND THE "TRESPASS" LETTER, BUT SCOTT TROXEL WROTE THE "TRESPASS" LETTER 17 DAYS BEFORE THE COVENANT SESSION MET.

WILL SCOTT TROXEL BE PROSECUTED FOR PERJURY?

\section*{A COUNTERFEIT TRESPASS LETTER}

\section*{COVENANT}

PRESBYTERIAN
CHURCH
S. James Bachmann. Jr. Senior Pastor

June 27, 2008

Mr. Austin Davis
2895 Wilshire Drive
Nashville, TN 37215
Dear Austin,
This letter serves as notice that henceforth your presence on our church property will be considered trespassing. You are not a member of our church, we do not recognize your letters, and we ask that you refrain from further harassment.

This will be legally enforced.


Covenant Session Clerk Scott Troxel repeatedly testified that his June \(27^{\text {th }}\) "trespass" letter was approved by the Covenant Session. Clerk Troxel's Covenant Session Board Minutes do not record the Session approved the June \(\mathbf{2 7}{ }^{\text {th }}\) letter. Will DA Glenn Funk prosecute Scott Troxel for perjury? Does Pastor Chad Scruggs teach "lying" or telling the "truth" to his members?

33 Burton Hills Blvd.
Nashville, TN 37215
Phone: (615) 383-2206
Fax: (615) 383-3093
\(\qquad\)

A Congregation of the
Presbyterian Church in America

17 Days after Scott Troxel wrote his fake "trespass" letter, Covenant Elders and Deacons were provided a security briefing with Nashville Police present in the Board Meeting. The protected molester quietly resigned as a member in good standing while Austin Davis was falsely gaslighted as a perceived "security concern" to protect a "Mann Act" Federal crime cover-up which is still on-going in 2019.

\footnotetext{
A stated meeting of the Session was held Monday, July 14, 2008, 6:30 PM, at the church.
}

\section*{ELDERS PRESENT}

Phil Schulz
Ron Kimery
Joe Butler John Avery Herb Kneeland Jack Herndon Brad Southern Mike Bishop
Tom Cox

ELDERS ABSENT'
Dewey Thomas Jody Elder Jack Wallace

Scott Troxel
Joe Eades
Wade Hyatt
David Hunsucker
Wade McGregor
Dale Levellen
Don Bull
Pug Scoville

GUESTS PRESENT
Officer Tawana Chick-Metro Nashville Police Department
Deacons, Warrick Robinson
Moderator: Pastor: Jim Bachrmann
Associate Pastor: Jack Foster
Associate Pastor: Lanry Ferris
Visitor and New Members Director: Rick Arendale
Associate Pastor: Roy Carter
Assistant Pastor: Wayne Herring
I. Quorum present and meeting called to order. Meeting opened with devotion and prayer.
II. Combined meeting with the Deacons was conducted. Officer Chick, Joe Eades, Jim Bachmann and Warrick Robinson provided background conceming Austin Davis. Mr. Robinson advised that the Session's response to the perceived security concerns did not have to be the strongest legal response Available, but a reasonable response is necessary.
After full discussion, Deacons left the room for the Session to continue the Stated meeting.
III. M/S/P Approved the Session meeting minutes of April 6, April 23, April 30, May 5 and May 19, 2008.
A. \(\quad \mathrm{M} / \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{P}\) Accept REDACTED resignation from the Diaconate.
B. \(\quad \mathrm{M} / \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{P}\) Approved transfer of Daniel Larrison to Faith PCA, Wauchula, FL
C. Elders are asked to consider serving in some role for the 2010 General Assembly to be hosted in Nashville.
M/S/P To request that the Covenant Presbyterian Session be part of serving communion at the 2010 General Assembly.
IV. Old Business
A. \(\quad \mathrm{M} / \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{P}\) to receive new members:
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Rob \& Fronda Alley & Ritch Gillespie & Claire Saivyer \\
Reagan, Hayes & Christopher \& Holly Ing & Baitt Sellers \\
Mark \& Anna Bright & Wes \& Nancy Kennedy & Jason \& Melissa Tillman \\
Onaly, David & Erin Malone & Dave \& Doreen Turner \\
Will \& Jaclyn Carney & David \& Jane McCracken & Ted \& Elizabeth Wade \\
Van \& Cathy East & Parker \& Kaylor & Wiley Walker \\
Frank \& Kristy Frazier & Jason Puckett & \\
Bo \& Happy Fulk & Bruce \& Vickie Reed &
\end{tabular}

In an abundance of caution, protected information is REDACTED in submission to Attorney Larry Crain's permanent injunction request granted on 9/24/2018.
IV. Old Business, cont.
B. Discussion concerning Austin Davis.

Ni/S/IP Diaconate will be fully informed of the facts of the situation. A concise summary of the facts
will be provided to elders and deacons. Members with questions about the situation will be referred to a church officer or pastor for information. M/S/P No change in the security detail for the July 21st worship services.
V. New Business
A. \(M / S / P\) to accept the officer candidates as recommended by the Nominating Committee
B. Discussion concerning the monthly newsletter and its associated costs and staffing challenges. \(\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{P}\) that the monthly newsletter will be published two more times. Members need to notify the Church office if they wish to continue to receive the monthly newsletter. In lieu of monthly mailings the newsletter will be accessible from the Covenant web-site.
C. \(\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{P}\) to approve of the WIC Bible Study Leaders
D. New Committees
E. M/S/P to approve housing allowances for Pastor Matt Bradley and Director of Music Paul Magyax,
F. \(\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{P}\) to form an ad hoc committee chaired by Herb Kneeland to work with the Diaconate to develop a comprehensive, long-term security plan.
VI. Adjournment


Did Scott Troxel and Federal Judge
John Bryant commit perjury to convict
and jail Austin Davis?
———S: James Bachmanni, Jr. Senior Pastor

> Austin Davis provided the DA the exculpatory Board Minutes but the DA continued with the "malicious prosecution."
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\section*{CHAPTER 9}

\section*{The Deacon}

9-1. The office of deacon is set forth in the Scriptures as ordinary and perpetual in the Church. The office is one of sympathy and service, after the example of the Lord Jesus; it expresses also the communion of saints, especially in their helping one another in time of need.

9-2. It is the duty of the deacons to minister to those who are in need, to the sick, to the friendless, and to any who may be in distress. It is their duty also to develop the grace of liberality in the members of the church, to devise effective methods of collecting the gifts of the people, and to distribute these gifts among the objects to which they are contributed. They shall have the care of the property of the congregation, both real and personal, and shall keep in proper repair the church edifice and other buildings belonging to the congregation. In matters of special importance affecting the property of the church, they cannot take final action without the approval of the Session and consent of the congregation.

In the discharge of their duties the deacons are under the supervision and authority of the Session. In a church in which it is impossible for any reason to secure deacons, the duties of the office shall devolve upon the ruling elders.

9-3. To the office of deacon, which is spiritual in nature, shall be chosen men of spiritual character, honest repute, exemplary lives, brotherly spirit, warm sympathies, and sound judgment.

9-4. The deacons of a particular church shall be organized as a Board, of which the pastor shall be an advisory member. The Board shall elect a chairman and a secretary from their number and a treasurer to whom shall be entrusted the funds for the current expenses of the church. It shall meet separately at least once a quarter, and whenever requested by the Session. The Board of each church shall determine the number necessary for a quorum.

The Board shall keep a record of its proceedings, and of all funds and their distribution, and shall submit its minutes to the Session regularly, and at other times upon request of the Session.

It is desirable that the Session and the Board of Deacons meet in joint session once a quarter to confer on matters of common interest.

PCA church property decision must be in submission to Chapter 9-2 and Chapter 12-5 c.

Covenant Session Clerk Troxel did not get the consent of the the congregation to write his June \(27^{\text {th }}\) rogue "trespass" letter.

12-5. The church Session is charged with maintaining the spiritual government of the church, for which purpose it has power:
a. To inquire into the knowledge, principles and Christian conduct of the church members under its care; to censure those found delinquent; to see that parents do not neglect to present their children for Baptism; to receive members into the communion of the Church; to remove them for just cause; to grant letters of dismissal to other churches, which when given to parents, shall always include the names of their non-communing, baptized children;
b. To examine, ordain, and install ruling elders and deacons on their election by the church, and to require these officers to devote themselves to their work; to examine the records of the proceedings of the deacons; to approve and adopt the budget;
c. To approve actions of special importance affecting church property;
d. To call congregational meetings when necessary; to establish and control Sunday schools and Bible classes with special reference to the children of the church; to establish and control all special groups in the church such as Men in the Church, Women in the Church and special Bible study groups; to promote world missions; to promote obedience to the Great Commission in its totality at home and abroad; to order collections for pious uses;
e. To exercise, in accordance with the Directory for Worship, authority over the time and place of the preaching of the Word and the administration of the Sacraments, over all other religious services, over the music in the services, and over the uses to which the church building and associated properties may be put; to take the oversight of the singing in the public worship of God; to ensure that the Word of God is preached only by such men as are sufficiently qualified (BCO 4-4, 53-2, 1 Timothy 2:11-12); to assemble the people for worship when there is no minister; to determine the best measures for promoting the spiritual interests of the church and congregation;
f. To observe and carry out the lawful injunctions of the higher courts; and to appoint representatives to the higher courts, who shall, on their return, make report of their diligence.

12-6. The Session shall hold stated meetings at least quarterly. Moreover, the pastor has power to convene the Session when he may judge it requisite; and he shall always convene it when requested to do so by any two of the ruling elders. When there is no pastor, it may be convened by two ruling elders. The Session shall also convene when directed so to do by the Presbytery.

PCA church property decision must be in submission to Chapter 9-2 and Chapter 12-5 c.

\author{
Covenant Session Clerk Troxel did not get the Covenant Session \\ approval to write his June \(27^{\text {th }}\) \\ rogue "trespass" letter.
}

\section*{CHAPTER 25}

\section*{Congregational Meetings}

25-1. The congregation consists of all the communing members of a particular church, and they only are entitled to vote.

25-2. Whenever it may seem for the best interests of the church that a congregational meeting should be held, the Session shall call such meeting and give public notice of at least one week. No business shall be transacted at such meeting except what is stated in the notice. The Session shall always call a congregational meeting when requested in writing to do so:
a. by one-fourth (1/4) of the communing members of a church of not more than one hundred (100) such members,
b. by one-fifth \((1 / 5)\) of the communing members of a church of more than one hundred (100) and not more than three hundred (300) such members,
c. by one-sixth ( \(1 / 6\) ) of the communing members of a church of more than three hundred (300) and not more than five hundred (500) such members,
d. by one-seventh \((1 / 7)\) of the communing members of a church of more than five hundred (500) members but not more than seven hundred (700) such members,
e. by one hundred (100) of the communing members of a church of more than seven hundred (700) such members.
Upon such a proper request, if the Session cannot act, fails to act or refuses to act, to call such a congregational meeting within thirty (30) days from the receipt of such a request, then any member or members in good standing may file a complaint in accordance with the provisions of BCO 43 .

25-3. The quorum of the congregational meeting shall consist of onefourth ( \(1 / 4\) ) of the resident communing members, if the church has not more than one hundred (100) such members, and of one-sixth (1/6) of the resident communing members if a church has more than one hundred (100) such members.


\title{
INDICTMENT
}

\section*{State of Tennessee, Davidson County}

THE GRAND JURORS of Davidson County, Tennessee, duly impaneled and sworn, upon their oath, present that:

\section*{WILLIE AUSTIN DAVIS}
on the 15th day of November, 2015, in Davidson County, Tennessee and before the finding of this indictment, did intentionally, knowingly, or recklessly enter or remain on the property of Covenant Presbyterian Church knowing that Willie Austin Davis did not have the effective consent of Covenant Presbyterian Church to do so, and Willie Austin Davis did intend, know or was reckless about whether his presence would cause fear for the safety of another and the property remained upon was the campus, property, or facilities of any public or private school, in violation of Tennessee Code Annotated § 39-14-406, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Tennessee.


> DIStrict Attorney General

TwEntieth Judicial District

\section*{IN THE CRIMINAL COURT FOR DAVIDSON COUNTY, TENNESSEE DIVISION I \\ Steve Dozier, Judge}

\section*{EXHIBIT LIST}

\section*{defernant Willie Culdin Davis} date \(9 .|-1|\)
case no. \(2017-1-62\) charge Ag. Criminal Trespass
conplantro. \(15-1050692\)


> No record of any Session Approval or Congregation Consent provided to the jury or the Defendant by the DA?

THE CRIMINAL COURT FOR DAVIDSON COUNTY, TENNESSEE
IN THE CRIMINAL COURT FOR DAVIDSON COUNTY, LR IE


\section*{MOTION IN LIMINE 1}

The Office of the District Attorney General, prosecuting on behalf of the State of Tennessee, moves this Court to instruct defense counsel not to ask any witness about prior bad acts without requesting a jury out hearing pursuant to Rule 608 and 609 of the Tennessee Rules of Evidence.

Respectfully submitted,

S. Chandler Harris

Tenn. Sup. Ct. Reg. \#32147
Assistant District Attorney General
Washington Square, Suite 500
222 Second Avenue North
Nashville, TN 37201-1649
(615) 862-5500

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I hereby certify that a true and exact copy of the foregoing has been mailed to Willie Austin Davis Pro Se, 19 Redbud Drive, Nashville, TN 37215 on this the \(\qquad\) 15 day of August, 2017.

IN THE CRIMINAL COURT FOR DAVIDSON COUNTY, TENNESSEE
DIVISION I DIVISION I 2017 AUG 15 PM 2: 22
STATE OF TENNESSEE
VS.

\section*{WILLIE AUSTIN DAVIS} CaIMINAL COURT CLERK \(n\) \(\qquad\) \(D C\)

\section*{MOTION IN LIMINE 2}

The Office of the District Attorney General, prosecuting on behalf of the State of Tennessee, moves this Court to instruct defense counsel not to present any statements of the defendant or ask questions to elicit statements of the defendant from witnesses unless the State has previously placed such statement into evidence. Self-serving declarations of a criminal defendant are not admissible. Hall v. State, 552 S.W.2d 417 (Tenn. Cr. App. 1977), State v. Wiseman, 643 S.W. 2 d 354 (Tenn. Cr. App. 1982), State v. Turnmire, 762 S.W.2d 893 (Tenn. Cr. App. 1988).

Respectfully submitted,


> Tenn. Sup. Ct. Reg. \#32147

Assistant District Attorney General
Washington Square, Suite 500
222 Second Avenue North
Nashville, TN 37201-1649
(615) 862-5500

IN THE CRIMINAL COURT FOR DAVIDSON COUNTY, TENNESSEE DIVISION I

VS.

WILLIE AUSTIN DAVIS

CASE NO: 2017-A-62
)
)
)

CAIMINAL COURT CLER:
\(\qquad\)

\section*{MOTION IN LIMINE 5}

The Office of the District Attorney General, prosecuting on behalf of the State of
Tennessee, respectfully requests this Court to order the defendant from referencing counsel for the State of Tennessee as anything other than "Mister.," Miss," or "General." In previous court dates on this matter, the defendant referred to counsel for the State several times as "Bull Connor" \({ }^{1}\) The State submits such references are inappropriate, and would serve to distract the jury from its role as the trier of fact in this matter.

Respectfully submitted,


\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) This was an apparent reference to 1960 s-era Birmingham Police Commissioner Theophilus Eugene Connor,
}

IN THE CRIMINAL COURT FOR DAVIDSON COUNTY, TENNESSEE

STATE OF TENNESSEE
vS.

WILLIE AUSTIN DAVIS DIVISION I

\section*{STATE'S REQUEST FOR COURT TO RULE ON DEFENDANT'S USE OF PERSONAL RECORDING DEVICE DURING COURT}

Comes now, the Office of the District Attorney General, to respectfully ask this court to determine whether the defendant is entitled to personally record court proceedings outside the official court record. The State became aware that during the defendant's most recent hearing in court, the defendant recorded court proceedings and possibly other proceedings on a personal recording device, and subsequently uploaded those proceedings to the internet via Soundcloud.com \({ }^{1}\) The State is not aware of any Rule 30 request made to the Court by the defendant prior to his hearing on March 10, 2017 that would allow such behavior to occur. As such, the State moves this Honorable Court to rule whether the defendant is allowed to continue this practice. \({ }^{2}\)

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Link may be found at: https://soundcloud.com/valglenn 18 /will-president-trump-ag-sessions-investigate-child-sex-
abuse-cover-up-cases-court-hearing-31017
\({ }^{2}\) Copy of recording attached to this filing.
}

IN THE CRIMINAL COURT FOR DAVIDSON COUNTY, TENNESSEE \(\quad 1\). DIVISION I

STATE OF TENNESSEE

VS.

\section*{WILLIE AUSTIN DAVIS}

\section*{REQUEST FOR HEARING TO CONSIDER 404(b) EVIDENCE}

The Office of the District Attorney General, prosecuting on behalf of the State of Tennessee, pursuant to Tennessee Rule of Evidence 404(b), requests that this Court hold a hearing outside the jury's presence to determine the admissibility of the following evidence for the purpose of proving notice and to rebut any claim of mistake or accident should the defense make such assertions. State v. McCary, 922 S.W.2d 511 (Tenn. 1996):
\(1 \varepsilon H r-2008\) The defendant visited Covenant Presbyterian Church on October 25, 2015. On that day, he encountered Metro Police officers who were called to scene because the defendant has been banned from the property since 2008. The defendant was sent a letter in 2008 informing him he was banned from the property. The defendant was shown a copy of the letter on October 25, 2015 by Officer James Smith. The defendant acknowledged receipt of the letter.

The State submits that evidence of the October 25 encounter is relevant and admissible to establish the defendant was on notice he was banned from the property before he was arrested there on November 15,2015, and further serves to rebut any claim of mistake the defendant might make regarding his November 15 visit to Covenant Presbyterian Church. The State submits there is clear and convincing evidence this encounter occurred, and further that its probative value is outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice. Tenn. R. Evid. 404(b).

> The Oct 25, 2015 audio recording was not allowed.


IN THE CRIMINAL COURT FOR DAVIDSON COUNTY, TENNESSEE DIVISION I Steve Dozier, Judge
oresomer Wuilice Custin Davis
asse. 2017.0 .62 charge Ugy. Criminal Tegages complaint no. \(15-1050692\)


Gov. Bill Haslam, Speaker Beth Harwell, Mayor Megan Barry, WSMV Anchor Demetria Kalodimos, and others were not called to testify under sworn oath by the DA's Prosecution Team to support DA statements made in front of a jury to gaslight and further criminalize Defendant Austin Davis.


STATE OF TENNESSEE
To the Sheriff of Davidson County - Greeting: \(\qquad\)
Off. James smith \# 151130
personally to appear before the Judge of our Criminal Court, Division 1, for the County of Davidson, personally to appear before the Judge of our Criminal Court, Division 1 , for the County then and there to testify, and the truth to say in behalf of the State vs.

Willie Austin Davis and this you shall in no wise omit, under the penalty prescribed by lav.

Witness, Howard Gentry, Clerk of said Court, at office, the secoid Monday in \(\qquad\) april A.D. 20 \(\qquad\) , and the two hundred and tHoth year of the Independence of the United States.```

